arXiv:1401.6542v2 [math.DG] 16 Jun 2014

BERGMAN KERNELS FOR A SEQUENCE OF ALMOST KÄHLER-RICCI SOLITONS

WENSHUAI JIANG, FENG WANG, AND XIAOHUA ZHU*

Abstract. In this paper, we give a lower bound of Bergman kernels for a sequence of almost Kähler-Einstein Fano manifolds, or more general, a sequence of Fano manifolds with almost Kähler-Ricci solitons. This generalizes a result by Donaldson-Sun, Tian for Kähler-Einstein manifolds sequence with positive scalar curvature. As an application of our result, we prove that the Gromov-Hausdorff limit of sequence is homomorphic to a log terminal Q-Fano variety which admits a Kähler-Ricci soliton on its smooth part.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Estimates from Kähler Ricci flow 5
3. Estimates for holomorphic sections 10
4. Construction of locally approximate holomorphic sections 13
5. $\bar{\partial}$-equation and construction of holomorphic sections 19
6. Proof of Theorem 1.3–I 22
7. Proof of Theorem 1.3–II 25
8. Proof of Corollary 1.4 31
9. Conclusion 36
10. Appendix 37
References 38

1. Introduction

Let (M^n, g) be an n-dimensional Fano manifold with its Kähler form ω_g in $2\pi c_1(M)$. Then g induces a Hermitian metric h of the anti-canonical line bundle K_M^{-1} such that $\mathrm{Ric}(K_M^{-1}, h) = \omega_g$. Also h induces a Hermitian metric

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 53C25; Secondary: 53C55, 58J05.

Key words and phrases. Kähler-Einstein metrics, almost Kähler-Ricci solitons, Ricci flow, $\bar{\partial}$-equation.

* Partially supported by the NSFC Grants 11271022 and 11331001.

(for simplicity, we still use the notation h) of l-multiple line bundle K_M^{-l}. As usual, the L^2-inner product on $H^0(M, K_M^{-l})$ is given by

$$(s_1, s_2) = \int_M \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle_h d\mathrm{v}_g, \ \forall \ s_1, s_2 \ \in H^0(M, K_M^{-l}). \tag{1.1}$$

Choosing a unit orthogonal basis $\{s_i\}$ of $H^0(M, K_M^{-l})$ with respect to the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ in (1.1), we define the Bergman kernel of (M, K_M^{-l}, h) by

$$\rho_l(x) = \Sigma_i |s_i|_h^2(x).$$

Clearly, $\rho_l(x)$ is independent of the choice of basis $\{s_i\}$. In [22], Tian proposed a conjecture for the existence of uniform lower bound of $\rho_l(x)$:

Conjecture 1.1. *Let $\{(M_i, g^i)\}$ be a sequence of n-dimensional Kähler-Einstein manifolds with constant scalar curvature n. Then there exists an integer number l_0 such that for any integer $l > 0$ there exists a uniform constant $c_l > 0$ with property:*

$$\rho_{ll_0}(M_i, g^i) \geq c_l. \tag{1.2}$$

Here c_l depends only on l, n.

The above conjecture was recently proved by Donaldson-Sun [7] and Tian [24], independently. [1] The main idea in their proofs is to use the Hörmander L^2-estimate to construct peak holomorphic sections by solving $\overline{\partial}$-equation. This idea can go back to Tian's orginal work [19] (see also a survey paper by him [22]). In fact he used the idea to prove the conjecture for the Kähler-Einstein surfaces more than twenty years ago [20].

The estimate (1.2) is usually called the partial C^0-estimate. Very recently, (1.2) was generalized to a sequence of conical Kähler-Einstein manifolds by Tian [23]. As an application of (1.2) he gives a proof of the famous Yau-Tian-Donaldson's conjecture for the existence problem of Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature. Chen-Donaldson-Sun also gives a proof of the conjecture independently [4].

Theorem 1.2 (Tian, Chen-Donaldson-Sun)**.** *A Fano manifold admits a Kähler-Einstein metric if and only if it is K-stable.*

The K-stability was first introduced by Tian [21] and it was reformulated by Donaldson in terms of test-configurations [6].

In this paper, we want to generalize the estimate (1.2) to a sequence of almost Kähler-Einstein Fano manifolds [26], or more general, a sequence of almost Kähler-Ricci solitons (see Definition 7.3 in Section 7). Namely, we prove

[1] Phong-Song-Strum extended the result to a sequence of Kähler-Ricci solitons lately [16].

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\{(M_i, g^i)\}$ be a sequence of almost Kähler-Einstein Fano manifolds (or a sequence of Fano manifolds with almost Kähler-Ricci solitons) with dimension $n \geq 2$. Then there exists an integer number l_0 such that for any integer $l > 0$ there exists a uniform constant $c_l > 0$ with property:*

$$\rho_{ll_0}(M_i, g^i) \geq c_l. \tag{1.3}$$

Here the constant c_l depends only on l, n, and some uniform geometric constants (cf. Section 9).

As in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we need to construct peak holomorphic sections by solving $\overline{\partial}$-equation to prove Theorem 1.3. Because there is a lack of local strong convergence of $\{(M_i, g^i)\}$, we shall smooth the sequence to approximate the original one by Ricci flow as in [26], [32]. This approximation will depend on points in the Gromov-Hausdorff limit space of $\{(M_i, g^i)\}$, so it depends on the time t in the Ricci flow. Thus we need to give estimates for the scalar curvatures and Kähler potentials along the flow for small time t (cf. Section 2, 3, 7). Another technical part is in the construction of peak holomorphic sections by using the rescaling method as in [7], [24], which will depend on the choice of Kähler metrics evolved in the Ricci flow in our case (cf. Section 5, 6, 7).

Together with the main results in [31] and [32], Theorem 1.3 implies

Corollary 1.4. *Let $\{(M_i, g^i)\}$ be a sequence of almost Kähler-Einstein Fano manifolds (or a sequence of Fano manifolds with almost Kähler-Ricci solitons) with dimension $n \geq 2$. Then $\{(M_i, g^i)\}$ (maybe replaced by a subsequence of $\{(M_i, g^i)\}$) converges to a metric space (M_∞, g_∞) in Gromov-Hausdorff topology with properties:*

i) The codemenison of singularities of (M_∞, g_∞) is at least 4;

ii) g_∞ is a Kähler-Einstein metric (or a Kähler-Ricci soliton) on the regular part of M_∞;

iii) M_∞ is homomorphic to a log terminal Q-Fano variety.

In case of Kähler-Einstein manifolds with positive scalar curvature, we note that i) and ii) in Corollary 1.4 follow from the Cheeger-Colding-Tian compactness theorem [3]. Donaldson-Sun proved the part iii) except log terminal property [7] (also see [12]). Since any Q-Fano variety, which admits a Kähler-Einstein metric, is automatically log terminal according to Proposition 3.8 in [1][2], Thus the part iii) is true. A normal variety M is called Q-Fano if the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}P^N}(1)$ is a multiple of K_M^{-1} on the

[2] The result also holds for a Q-Fano variety, which admits a Kähler-Ricci soliton, from the proof of Proposition 3.8.

smooth part of M. The log terminal means that there exists a resolution $\pi : \tilde{M} \to M$ such that $K_{\tilde{M}} = \pi^* K_M + \sum a_i D_i$, where $a_i > -1$, $\forall\ i$.

There are important examples of almost Kähler-Einstein metrics and almost Kähler-Ricci solitons:

1) Tian and B. Wang constructed a family of almost Kähler-Einstein metrics g_t $(t \to 1)$ arising from solutions of certain complex Monge-Ampère equations on a Fano manifold with the Mabuchi's K-energy bounded below [26].

2) Tian constructed a family of almost Kähler-Einstein metrics g_t $(t \to 1)$ modified from conical Kähler-Einstein metrics on a Fano manifold whose corresponding conical angles go to 2π [23].

3) F. Wang and Zhu constructed a family of almost Kähler-Ricci solitons g_t $(t \to 1)$ arising from solutions of certain complex Monge-Ampère equations on a Fano manifold with the modified K-energy bounded below [31], [32].

By Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.4, we have

Corollary 1.5. *Let g_t $(t \to 1)$ be a family of almost Kähler-Einstein metrics (or almost Kähler-Ricci solitons) on a Fano manifold M constructed above 1), 2), 3). Then there exists an integer number l_0 such that for any integer $l > 0$ there exists a uniform constant $c_l > 0$ independent of t with property:*

$$\rho_{ll_0}(M, g_t) \geq c_l > 0. \tag{1.4}$$

Moreover, there exists a sequence $\{(M, g_t)\}$ which converges to a metric space (M_∞, g_∞) in Gromov-Hausdorff topology with properties i),ii),iii) in Corollary 1.4.

It was proved recently by Li that the lower boundedness of K-energy is equivalent to the K-semistablity [L2]. Li's proof depends on the construction of test-configurations in Theorem 1.2 by studying conical Kähler-Einstein metrics. It is reasonable to believe that there is an analogy of Li's result to describe the modified K-energy in sense of modified K-semistability.

Question 1.6. *Is there a direct proof (without using conical Kähler-Einstein metrics as in the proof of Theorem 1.2) for that the K-stability implies the K-energy bounded below?*

A same question was proposed by Paul in his recent paper [15]. He proved there that the K-stability is equivalent to the properness of K-energy in the space of Kähler metrics induced by the Bergman Kernels. Thus as pointed by Tian in [24], [25] (also see [21]), (1.4) will give a new proof of Theorem 1.2 if the answer to Question 1.6 is positive.

Finally, let us to state our organization to the paper. In Section 2, we give some estimates for scalar curvatures and Kähler potentials along the

Ricci flow, then, in Section 3, we use them to give the C^0-estimate and the gradient estimate for holomorphic sections on multiple line bundles of K_M^{-1}. Section 4 is devoted to construct almost peak holomorphic sections by using the trivial bundle on the tangent cone. The peak holomorphic sections, which depend on time t, will be constructed in Section 5. Theorem 1.3 will be proved in Section 6, 7, according to almost Kähler-Einstein metrics and almost Kähler-Ricci solitons, respectively, while its proof is completed in Section 9. In Section 8, we prove Corollary 1.4.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank professor G. Tian for his encourage and many valuable discussions during working on the paper.

2. Estimates from Kähler Ricci flow

In this section, we give some necessary estimates for the scalar curvatures and Kähler potentials along the Kähler-Ricci flow. Let (M, g) be an n-dimensional Fano manifold with its Kähler form ω_g in $2\pi c_1(M)$. Let $g_t = g(\cdot, t)$ be a solution of normalized Kähler Ricci flow,

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial}{\partial t} g = -\mathrm{Ric}(g) + g, \\ g_0 = g(\cdot, 0) = g. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

Recall an estimate for Sobolev constants of g_t by Zhang [34].

Lemma 2.1. *Let g_t be the solution of (2.1). Suppose that there exists a Sobolev constant C_s of g such that the following inequality holds,*

$$(\int_M f^{\frac{2n}{n-1}} \mathrm{dv}_g)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq C_s(\int_M f^2 \mathrm{dv}_g + \int_M |\nabla f|^2 \mathrm{dv}_g), \ \forall \ f \in C^1(M). \tag{2.2}$$

Then there exist two uniform constants $A = A(C_s, -\inf_M R(g), V)$ and $C_0 = C_0(C_s, -\inf_M R(g), V)$ such that for any $f \in C^1(M)$ it holds

$$(\int_M f^{\frac{2n}{n-1}} \mathrm{dv}_{g_t})^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq A(\int_M (|\nabla f|^2 + (R_t + C_0) f^2) \mathrm{dv}_{g_t}, \tag{2.3}$$

where R_t are scalar curvatures of g_t.

By using the Moser iteration method, we have

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\Delta = \Delta_t$ be Lapalace operators associated to g_t. Suppose that $f \geq 0$ satisfies*

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta) f \leq af, \ \forall \ t \in (0, 1), \tag{2.4}$$

where $a \geq 0$ is a constant. Then for any $t \in (0,1)$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{x\in M} f(x,t) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{t^{\frac{n+1}{p}}}\Big(\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t}\int_M |f(x,\tau)|^p \mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau} d\tau\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where $C = C(a,p,C_s,-\inf R(g),V)$, $p \geq 1$ and C_s is the Sobolev constant of g in (2.2).

Proof. Multiplying both sides of (2.4) by f^p, we have

$$\int_M f^p f'_\tau \mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau} - \int_M f^p \Delta f \mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau} \leq a\int_M f^{p+1}.$$

Taking integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{p+1}\int_M (f^{p+1})'_\tau \mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau} + \frac{4p}{(p+1)^2}\int_M |\nabla f^{\frac{p+1}{2}}|^2 \mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau} \\ &\leq a\int_M f^{p+1}\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}\int_M f^{p+1}\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau} = \int_M (f^{p+1})'_\tau \mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau} + \int_M f^{p+1}(n-R)\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau},$$

we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{p+1}\frac{d}{d\tau}\int_M f^{p+1}\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau} + \frac{1}{p+1}\int_M Rf^{p+1}\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau} \\ &+ \frac{4p}{(p+1)^2}\int_M |\nabla f^{\frac{p+1}{2}}|^2 \leq (a+\frac{n}{p+1})\int_M f^{p+1}\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

It turns

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{d\tau}\int_M f^{p+1}\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau} + \int_M (R+C_0)f^{p+1}\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau} + 2\int_M |\nabla f^{\frac{p+1}{2}}|^2 \\ &\leq ((p+1)a+n+C_0)\int_M f^{p+1}\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

For any $0 \leq \sigma' \leq \sigma \leq 1$, we define

$$\psi(\tau) = \begin{cases} 0, \tau \leq \sigma' t \\ \frac{\tau - \sigma' t}{(\sigma-\sigma')t}, \sigma' t \leq \tau \leq \sigma t \\ 1, \sigma t \leq \tau \leq t. \end{cases}$$

Then by (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{d\tau}(\psi\int_M f^{p+1}\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}) + \psi\int_M [(R+C_0)f^{p+1} + 2|\nabla f^{\frac{p+1}{2}}|^2]\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau} \\ &\leq [\psi((p+1)a+n+C_0)+\psi']\int_M f^{p+1}\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$\sup_{\sigma t\le\tau\le t}\int_M f^{p+1}dv_{g_\tau}+\int_{\sigma t}^t\int_M[(R+C_0)f^{p+1}+2|\nabla f^{\frac{p+1}{2}}|^2]dv_{g_\tau}$$
$$\le((p+1)a+n+C_0+\frac{1}{(\sigma-\sigma')t})\int_{\sigma' t}^t\int_M f^{p+1}dv_{g_\tau}.$$

Thus by Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\int_{\sigma t}^t\int_M f^{(p+1)(1+\frac{1}{n})}\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}$$
$$\le(\int_{\sigma t}^t\int_M f^{p+1}\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau})^{\frac{1}{n}}(\int_M f^{(p+1)\frac{n}{n-1}})^{\frac{n-1}{n}}$$
$$\le[(\sup_{\sigma t\le\tau\le t}\int_M f^{p+1}\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}]^{\frac{1}{n}}\int_{\sigma t}^t A\int_M[(R+C_0)f^{p+1}+2|\nabla f^{\frac{p+1}{2}}|^2]\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}$$
$$\le A((p+1)a+n+C_0+\frac{1}{(\sigma-\sigma')t})^{\frac{n+1}{n}}(\int_{\sigma' t}^t\int_M f^{p+1}\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau})^{\frac{n+1}{n}}. \tag{2.7}$$

By choosing $\sigma'=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}\sigma_k,\sigma=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}\sigma_{k+1}$, where $\sigma_k=\sum_{l=0}^k(\frac{1}{2}))^l-1$, and replacing p by $p_{k+1}=(p_k+1)^{\frac{n+1}{n}}-1$ with $p_0=p\ge 0$ in (2.7), then iterating k we will get the desired estimate (2.5). □

By Lemma 2.2, we prove

Proposition 2.3. *Let $u=u_t$ and $R=R_t$ be Ricci potentials and scalar curvatures of solutions g_t in (2.1), respectively. Suppose that (M,g) satisfies*

$$\mathrm{Ric}(g)\ge-\Lambda^2 g \text{ and } \mathrm{diam}(M,g)\le D. \tag{2.8}$$

Then there exists a constant $C(n,,\Lambda,D)$ such that

$$|\nabla u|^2(x,t)$$
$$\le\frac{C}{t^{(n+1)(n+\frac{3}{2})}}\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t\int_M|R-n|\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau},\ \forall\ 0<t\le 1 \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$|R-n|(x,t)$$
$$\le\frac{C}{t^{(n+1)(n+\frac{3}{2})+n}}\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t\int_M|R-n|\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau},\ \forall\ 0<t\le 1. \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. By a direct computation, we have the the following evolution formulas for $|\nabla u|$ and R, respectively,

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta)|\nabla u|^2=\Delta|\nabla u|^2-|\nabla\nabla u|^2-|\nabla\bar{\nabla}u|^2+|\nabla u|^2\le|\nabla u|^2 \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta)R = \Delta R + R - n + |\mathrm{Ric}(g) - g|^2. \tag{2.12}$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned}&(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta)(R + n\Lambda + |\nabla u|^2)\\ &= R - n - |\nabla\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 \leq R + n\Lambda + |\nabla u|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Note that $R(g_t) + n\Lambda \geq 0$ by the maximum principle. It was proved in [11] that there exists a uniform constant $C = C(\Lambda, D)$ such that

$$\int_0^1 \int_M (R + n\Lambda + |\nabla u|^2) d\mathrm{v}_g dt \leq C.$$

Then by Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$(R + n\Lambda + |\nabla u|^2)(x,t) \leq \frac{C}{t^{n+1}}. \tag{2.14}$$

In particular,

$$|\nabla u|^2(x,t) \leq \frac{C}{t^{n+1}}, \quad \text{and} \quad R \leq \frac{C}{t^{n+1}}. \tag{2.15}$$

Next we estimate the C^0-norm of u_t. By Lemma 2.1 we have the Sobolev inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}(\int_M f^{\frac{2n}{n-1}} \mathrm{dv}_{g_t})^{\frac{n-1}{n}} &\leq A(\int_M (|\nabla f|^2 + (R(x,t) + C_0) f^2) \mathrm{dv}_{g_t})\\ &\leq A(\int_M (|\nabla f|^2 + \frac{C}{t^{n+1}} f^2) \mathrm{dv}_{g_t}).\end{aligned}$$

The inequality implies (cf. [10], [33]),

$$\mathrm{vol}(B(x,1)) \geq C t^{n(n+1)}, \ \forall\ x \in M.$$

Since $\mathrm{vol}(M) = V$, it is easy to obtain

$$\mathrm{diam}(M, g_t) \leq \frac{V}{C t^{n(n+1)}}.$$

Thus by (2.15), we get

$$\mathrm{osc}_M u(x,t) \leq \frac{C}{t^{(n+1)(n+\frac{1}{2})}}. \tag{2.16}$$

By (2.16), we can improve (2.15) to (2.9). In fact, by applying Lemma 2.2 to (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\nabla u|^2(x,t) &\leq \frac{C}{t^{n+1}}\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{T}\int_M |\nabla u|^2 \mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau} d\tau \\
&= \frac{C}{t^{n+1}}\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t}\int_M -u\Delta u \mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau} d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{C}{t^{n+1}}\mathrm{osc}_{(x,\tau)\in M\times[\frac{t}{2},t]}|u|(x,\tau)\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t}\int_M |R-n|\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau} d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{C'}{t^{(n+1)(n+\frac{3}{2})}}\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t}\int_M |R-n|\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau} d\tau, \qquad (2.17)
\end{aligned}$$

where the constant C' depends only on $n,\ \Lambda,\ D$. This proves (2.9).

To get (2.10), we use the evolution equation as same as (2.13),

$$\begin{aligned}
(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta)(|\nabla u|^2+R-n) &= R-n-|\nabla\nabla u|^2+|\nabla u|^2 \\
&\leq |\nabla u|^2+R-n.
\end{aligned}$$

Then applying Lemma 2.2, we see

$$\begin{aligned}
(|\nabla u|^2+R-n)_+ &\leq \frac{C}{t^{n+1}}\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t}\int_M ||\nabla u|^2+R-n|\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau} d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{C}{t^{(n+1)(n+\frac{3}{2})}}\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t}\int_M |R-n|\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau} d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus by (2.9), it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&(R-n)_+ \\
&\leq \frac{C}{t^{(n+1)(n+\frac{3}{2})}}\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t}\int_M |R-n| d\mathrm{v}_{g_\tau} d\tau := A(t). \qquad (2.18)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the evolution equation (2.12) of R,

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta)R = R-n+|\nabla\bar{\nabla}u|^2,$$

we have

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta)(A(T)+n-R) \leq A(T)+n-R.$$

Hence applying Lemma 2.2 again, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&(A(t)+n-R)(x,t)\\&\leq \frac{C''}{t^{n+1}}\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t}\int_M (A(t)+n-R)\mathrm{dv}_{g_t}dt\\&\leq \frac{C''}{t^{n+1}}\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{T}\int_M |n-R|\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}d\tau+\frac{A(t)VC}{t^n}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, inserting (2.18) into the above estimate, we obtain (2.10). □

3. Estimates for holomorphic sections

In this section, we use the estimates in Section 2 to give the C^0-estimate and the gradient estimate for holomorphic sections with respect to g_t. Let (M^n, g) be a Fano manifold and $L = K_M^{-1}$ its anti-canonical line bundle with induced Hermitian metric h by g. We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that the Ricci potential u of g satisfies*

$$\|\nabla u\|_g \leq 1. \tag{3.1}$$

Then for $s \in H^0(M, L^l)$ we have

$$\|s\|_h + l^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla s\|_h \leq C(C_s, n)l^{\frac{n}{2}}(\int_M |s|^2\mathrm{dv}_g)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{3.2}$$

where C_s is the Sobolev constant of (M, g).

Proof. Note that

$$\Delta|s|_h^2 = |\nabla s|_h^2 - nl|s|_h^2.$$

It follows

$$-\Delta|s|_h^2 \leq nl|s|_h^2. \tag{3.3}$$

Thus applying the standard Moser iteration method to (3.3), we get

$$\|s\|_h \leq C(C_s, n)l^{\frac{n}{2}}(\int_M |s|^2\mathrm{dv}_g)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3.4}$$

On the other hand, we have the following Bochner formula,

$$\Delta|\nabla s|_h^2 = |\nabla\nabla s|^2 + |\bar\nabla\nabla s|^2 - (n+2)l|\nabla s|^2 + \langle \mathrm{Ric}(\nabla s, .), \nabla s\rangle.$$

Then we can also apply the Moser iteration to obtain a L^∞-estimate for $|\nabla s|_h^2$ as done for $|s|_h^2$. In fact, it suffices to deal with the extra integral terms like $\langle \mathrm{Ric}(\nabla s, .), \nabla s\rangle|\nabla s|^{2p}$. But those terms can be controlled by the

integral of $(|\nabla\nabla s|^2 + |\bar{\nabla}\nabla s|^2)|\nabla s|_h^{2p}$ by taking integral by parts with the help of the condition (3.1) (cf. [32], [27]). As a consequence, we obtain

$$\|\nabla s\|_h \leq C(C_s, n) l^{\frac{n}{2}} (\int_M |\nabla s|^2 \mathrm{dv}_g)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(C_s, n) l^{\frac{n+1}{2}} (\int_M |s|^2 \mathrm{dv}_g)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3.5}$$

Therefore, combining (3.4) and (3.5), we derive (3.6).

□

Remark 3.2. *Using the same argument in Lemma 3.1, we can prove: If (M, g) satisfies*

$$\mathrm{Ric}(\omega_g) \geq -\Lambda^2 \omega_g + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial} u,$$

for some u with $|\nabla u|_g \leq A$, then

$$\|s\|_h + l^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla s\|_h \leq C(C_s, A, \Lambda) l^{\frac{n}{2}} (\int_M |s|^2 \mathrm{dv}_g)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ \forall s \in H^0(M, L^l). \tag{3.6}$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let (M, g) be a Fano manifold which satisfies (3.1) as in Lemma 3.1. Let $\bar{\partial}$-operator be defined for smooth sections on (M, L^l) ($l \geq 4n$) with the induced metric h. Then for any $\sigma \in C^\infty(\Gamma(M, L^l))$, there exists a solution $v \in C^\infty(\Gamma(M, L^l))$ such that $\bar{\partial} v = \bar{\partial}\sigma$ with property:*

$$\int_M |v|^2 \leq 4 l^{-1} \int_M |\bar{\partial}\sigma|^2. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. The existence part comes from the Hömander L^2-theory. We suffice to verify (3.7), which is equal to prove that the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1(\bar{\partial}, L^l)$ of $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}$ is greater than $\frac{l}{4}$, where $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}$ denotes the Lapalce operator defined on $L^2(T^*M \bigotimes L^l)$.

Note that the following two identities hold for any $\theta \in \Omega^{0,1}(L^l)$,

$$\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}\theta = \bar{\nabla}^*\bar{\nabla}\theta + \mathrm{Ric}(\theta, .) + l\theta$$

and

$$\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}\theta = \nabla^*\nabla\theta - (n-1)l\theta.$$

It follows

$$\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}\theta = (1 - \frac{1}{2n})\bar{\nabla}^*\bar{\nabla} + (1 - \frac{1}{2n})\mathrm{Ric}(\theta, .) + \frac{1}{2n}\nabla^*\nabla\theta + \frac{l}{2}\theta. \tag{3.8}$$

Then with the help of condition (3.1), a direct computation shows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M \langle \Delta_{\bar\partial}\theta, \theta\rangle \\
&= (1-\frac{1}{2n})\int_M |\bar\nabla\theta|^2 + \frac{1}{2n}\int_M |\nabla\theta|^2 + \frac{l}{2}\int_M |\theta|^2 \\
&+ (1-\frac{1}{2n})\int_M (|\theta|^2 + \langle \nabla\bar\nabla u(\theta,.),\theta\rangle) \\
&\geq (1-\frac{1}{2n})\int_M |\bar\nabla\theta|^2 + \frac{1}{2n}\int_M |\nabla\theta|^2 + \frac{l}{2}\int_M |\theta|^2 \\
&+ (1-\frac{1}{2n})\int_M |\theta|^2 - (1-\frac{1}{2n})\int_M \langle \bar\nabla u, (\langle\nabla\theta,\theta\rangle + \langle\theta,\bar\nabla\theta\rangle)\rangle \\
&\geq (1-\frac{1}{2n})\int_M |\bar\nabla\theta|^2 + \frac{1}{2n}\int_M |\nabla\theta|^2 + \frac{l}{2}\int_M |\theta|^2 \\
&+ (1-\frac{1}{2n})\int_M |\theta|^2 - (1-\frac{1}{2n})\int_M [\frac{1}{2n}(|\bar\nabla\theta|^2 + |\nabla\theta|^2) + n|\theta|^2] \\
&\geq (\frac{l}{2}-n)\int_M |\theta|^2. \qquad (3.9)
\end{aligned}$$

Now we can choose $l \geq 4n$ to get that $\lambda_1(\bar\partial, L) \geq \frac{l}{4}$ as required. □

Remark 3.4. *If the upper bound of $|\nabla u|$ is replaced by a constant C, the coefficient at the last inequality in (3.9) will be $\frac{l}{2} - nC^2$. Then by choosing $l \geq 4nC^2$, one can also get (3.7). This was proved in* [28].

Recall that a sequence of almost Kähler-Einstein Fano manifolds (M_i, J_i, g^i) satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned}
&i)\ \mathrm{Ric}(g^i) \geq -\Lambda^2 g^i \text{ and } \mathrm{diam}(M_i, g^i) \leq D; \\
&ii)\ \int_{M_i} |\mathrm{Ric}(g^i) - g^i| \mathrm{dv}_{g^i} \to 0; \\
&iii)\ \int_0^1 \int_{M_i} |R(g^i_t) - n| \mathrm{dv}_{g^i_t} dt \to 0, \text{ as } i \to \infty. \qquad (3.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Here g^i are normalized so that $\omega_{g^i} \in 2\pi c_1(M_i)$ and g^i_t are the solutions of (2.1) with the initial metrics g^i. We note that $\mathrm{vol}(M_i, g^i) = (2\pi)^n c_1(M_i)^n \geq V$ for some uniform constant V by the normalization.

Applying Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3 to almost Kähler-Einstein manifolds with the help of gradient estimate (2.9) in Proposition 2.3, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\{(M_i, g^i)\}$ be a sequence of almost Kähler Einstein metrics which satisfy (3.10). Then for any $t \in (0,1)$ there exists an integer*

$N = N(t)$ such that for any $i \geq N$ and $l \geq 4n$ it holds,

$$\|s\|_{h_t^i} + l^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla s\|_{h_t^i} \leq Cl^{\frac{n}{2}}(\int_M |s|^2 \mathrm{dv}_{g_t^i})^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.11}$$

and

$$\int_{M_i} |v|^2_{h_t^i} \leq 4l^{-1}\int_{M_i} |\bar{\partial}\sigma|^2. \tag{3.12}$$

Here $s \in H^0(M_i, K_{M_i}^{-l})$, the norms of $|\cdot|_{h_t^i}$ are induced by g_t^i, and C is a uniform constant independent of t.

Proof. A well-known result shows that the Sobolev constants C_s of (M_i, g^i) depend only on the constants Λ, D and V. Then by (2.9) in Proposition 2.3, for any $t \in (0,1)$, there exists $N = N(t)$ such that

$$\|\nabla u^i\|_{h_t^i} \leq 1, \ \forall\ i \geq N,$$

where u^i are Ricci potentials of g_t^i . Thus we can apply Lemma 3.1 to get (3.11). Similarly, we can get (3.12) by Lemma 3.3. □

4. Construction of locally approximate holomorphic sections

Let $\{(M_i, g^i)\}$ be a sequence of almost Kähler-Einstein manifolds as in Section 3 and (M_∞, g_∞) its Gromov-Hausdorff limit. It was proved by Tian and B. Wang that the regular part $\mathcal{R}$ of M_∞ is an open Kähler manifold and the codimension of singularities of M_∞ is at least 4 [26]. Moreover, according to Proposition 5.1 in that paper, we have

Lemma 4.1. *Let $x \in M_\infty$. Then there exist constants $\epsilon = \epsilon(n)$ and $r_0 = r_0(n, C)$ such that if $\mathrm{vol}(B_x(r)) \geq (1-\epsilon)\omega_{2n}r^{2n}$ for some $r \leq r_0$, then $B_x(\frac{r}{2}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}$, and*

$$\mathrm{Ric}(g_\infty) = g_\infty,\ \|\nabla^l \mathrm{Rm}\|_{C^0(B_x(\frac{r}{2}))} \leq \frac{C}{r^{l+2}},$$

where the constant C depends only on l, and the constants Λ and D in (3.10).

Recall that a tangent cone C_x at $x \in M_\infty$ is a Gromov-Hausdorff limit defined by

$$(C_x, g_x, x) = \lim_{j\to\infty}(M_\infty, \frac{g_\infty}{r_j^2}, x), \tag{4.1}$$

where $\{r_j\}$ is some sequence which goes to 0. Without the loss of generality, we may assume that $l_j = \frac{1}{r_j^2}$ are integers. Since (C_x, g_x, x) is a metric cone, $g_x = \mathrm{hess}\frac{\rho_x^2}{2}$, where $\rho_x = \mathrm{dist}(x, \cdot)$ is a distance function staring from x in C_x.

Denote the regular part of (C_x, g_x, x) by $\mathcal{CR}$, which consists of points in C_x with flat cones. By Lemma 4.1, we prove

Lemma 4.2. *$\mathcal{CR}$ is an open Kähler-Ricci flat manifold. Moreover, for any compact set $K \subset \mathcal{CR}$, there exist a sequence of $(K_j \subset \mathcal{R}, \frac{1}{r_j^2} g_\infty)$ which converges to K in C^∞-topology.*

Proof. Let ϵ be a small number chosen as in Lemma 4.1. Then for any $y \in \mathcal{CR}$, there exists some small r such that $\hat{B}_y(r) \subset C_x$ and

$$\text{vol } (\hat{B}_y(r)) \geq (1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2})\omega_{2n} r^{2n}.$$

Thus there exists a sequence of $y_\alpha \in C_x$ such that

$$\text{vol } (B_{y_\alpha}(r r_\alpha)) \geq (1 - \epsilon)\omega_{2n} (r r_\alpha)^{2n},$$

where the sequence $\{r_\alpha\}$ is chosen as in (4.1). By Lemma 4.1, it follows

$$\|\text{Rm}(\tilde{g}_\infty)\|_{C^l(\tilde{B}_{y_\alpha}(\frac{r}{2}))} \leq \frac{C_l}{r^{l+2}},$$

where $\tilde{g}_\infty = \frac{g_\infty}{r_\alpha^2}$ and $\tilde{B}_{y_\alpha}(\frac{r}{2}) \subset M_\infty$ is a $\frac{r}{2}$-geodesic ball with respect to the metric $\tilde{g}_\infty$. Hence, by the Cheeger-Gromov compactness theorem [GW], $(\tilde{B}_{y_\alpha}(\frac{r}{2}), \tilde{g}_\infty)$ converge to $(\hat{B}_y(\frac{r}{2}), g_x)$ in C^∞-topology. In particular, $B_{y_\alpha}(\frac{r_\alpha r}{2}) \subset \mathcal{R}$ and $\hat{B}_y(\frac{r}{2}) \subset \mathcal{CR}$. This implies that $\mathcal{CR}$ is an open manifolds. Moreover, $\mathcal{CR}$ is a Kähler-Ricci flat manifold since each $(B_{y_\alpha}(\frac{r_\alpha r}{2}), g_\infty)$ is an open Kähler-Einstein manifold. If K is a compact set of $\mathcal{CR}$, then by taking finite small geodesic covering balls, one can find a sequence $\{(K_j \subset \mathcal{R}, \frac{1}{r_j^2} g_\infty)\}$ which converges to (K, g_x) in C^∞-topology. □

Define an open set $V(x;\delta)$ of $\mathcal{CR}$ by

$$V(x;\delta) = \{y \in C_x | \text{ dist}(y, S_x) \geq \delta, d(y,x) \leq \frac{1}{\delta}\}, \tag{4.2}$$

where $S_x = C_x \setminus \mathcal{CR}$. The following lemma shows that there exists a "nice" cut-off function on C_x which supported on $V(x;\delta)$.

Lemma 4.3. *For any $\eta, \delta > 0$, there exist some $\delta_1 < \delta$ and a cut-off function β on C_x which supported in $V(x;\delta_1)$ with property: $\beta = 1$, in $V(x;\delta)$;*

$$\int_{C_x} |\nabla \beta|^2 e^{-\frac{\rho_x^2}{2}} d\text{v}_{g_x} \leq \eta.$$

Lemma 4.3 is in fact a corollary of following fundamental lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *Let (X^m, d, μ) be a measured metric space such that*

$$\mu(B_y(r) \leq C_0 r^m, \ \forall \ r \leq 1, \ y \in X. \tag{4.3}$$

Let Z be a closed subset of X with $\mathcal{H}^{m-2}(Z) = 0$. Suppose that there exists a nonnegative function $f \leq 1$ on X such that

$$\int_X f d\mu \leq 1.$$

Then for any $x \in X$, $\eta > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, there exist a positive $\delta_1 \leq \delta$ and a cut-off function $\beta \geq 0$, which supported in $B_x(\frac{1}{\delta_1}) \setminus Z_{\delta_1}$ with property: $\beta = 1$, in $B_x(\frac{1}{\delta}) \setminus Z_\delta$;

$$\int_X f|\mathrm{Lif}(\beta)|^2 d\mu \leq \eta. \tag{4.4}$$

Here $Z_{\delta_1} = \{x' \in X | \ \mathrm{dist}(x', Z) \leq \delta_1\}$ and $\mathrm{Lip}(\beta)(z) = \sup_{w \to z} |\frac{f(w)-f(z)}{d(w,z)}|$.

Proof. Let $R \geq \sqrt{\frac{8}{\eta}} + \frac{2}{\delta}$. Since $\mathcal{H}^{m-2}(Z) = 0$, then for any $\kappa > 0$, we can take finite geodesic balls $B_{x_i}(r_i)$ $(r_i \leq \delta)$ with $x_i \in Z$ to cover $B_x(R) \bigcap Z$ such that

$$\Sigma_i r_i^{m-2} \leq \kappa.$$

Let $\zeta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a cut-off function which satisfies:

$$\zeta(t) = 1, \ \text{for } t \leq \frac{1}{2}; \zeta(t) = 0, \ \text{for } t \geq 1; |\zeta'(t)| \leq 2.$$

Set

$$\chi(y) = \min_i \{1 - \zeta(\frac{d(y, x_i)}{r_i})\}$$

and

$$\beta(y) = \zeta(\frac{\epsilon}{d(y,x)})\zeta(\frac{d(y,x)}{R})\chi(y),$$

where $\epsilon \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$. Then it is easy to see that β is supported in $B_x(R) \setminus \cup B_{x_i}(\frac{r_i}{2})$ with $\beta \equiv 1$ in $B_x(\frac{1}{\delta}) \setminus Z_\delta$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X f|Lif\beta|^2 d\mu &\leq 4C_0 \Sigma_i r_i^{-2} r_i^m + 4C_0 \epsilon^{m-2} + \frac{4}{R^2} \\ &\leq 4C_0\kappa + 4C_0\epsilon^{2n-2} + \frac{\eta}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, if we choose ϵ and κ such that $4C_0\kappa + 4C_0\epsilon^{2n-2} \leq \frac{\eta}{2}$, then we get (4.4). By choosing $\delta_1 \leq \min\{\frac{\epsilon}{2}, \frac{1}{2R}\}$ such that

$$Z_{\delta_1} \cap B_x(R) \subseteq \cup B_{x_i}(\frac{r_i}{2}),$$

we can also get $\mathrm{supp}(\beta) \subset B_x(\frac{1}{\delta_1}) \setminus Z_{\delta_1}$. Hence β satisfies all conditions required in the lemma.

□

Proof of Lemma 4.3. Applying Lemma 4.4 to $X = C_x, Z = S_x, f = e^{-\frac{\rho_x^2}{2}}$, we get the lemma. □

By Lemma 4.2, we see that for any $\delta > 0$ there exists a sequence of $K_j \subset (M_\infty, r_j^{-2} g_\infty)$ which converge to $V(x;\delta)$. Let $L_0 = (C_x, \mathbb{C})$ be the trivial holomorphic bundle over C_x with a hermitian metric $h_0 = e^{-\frac{\rho_x^2}{2}}$. Then h_0 induces the Chern connection ∇_0 with its curvature

$$\mathrm{Ric}(L_0, \nabla_0) = g_x.$$

In the following we show that a sufficiently large multiple line bundles of $K_{\mathcal{R}}^{-1}|_{K_j}$ will approximate to L_0 over $V(x;\delta)$. This is in fact an application of the following fundamental lemma.

Lemma 4.5. *Let (V, g) be a C^2 open Riemannian manifold and $U, U' \subset\subset V$ are two pre-compact open subsets of V with $U \subset\subset U'$. Then for any positive number ϵ, there exist a small number $\delta = \delta(U', g, \epsilon)$ and a positive integer $N = N(U, g, \epsilon)$, which depends on the fundamental group of U, the metric g on U, and the small ϵ such that the following is true: if a hermitian complex line bundle (L, h) over V with associated connection ∇ satisfies*

$$|\mathrm{Ric}^{\nabla}|_g \leq \delta, \text{ in } U', \tag{4.5}$$

then there exist a positive integer $l \leq N$ and a section ψ of $L^{\otimes l}$ over U with $|\psi|_h \equiv 1$ which satisfies

$$|D^{\nabla^{\otimes l}} \psi|_{h,g} \leq \epsilon, \text{ in } U. \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. The proof seems standard. First we show that (L, U) is a flat bundle with respect to some connection. Let $B_{x_i}(r_i)$ $(r_i \leq 1)$ be finite convex geodesic balls in V such that $\bar{U} \subset \cup B_{x_i}(r_i) \subset U'$. Then for $y \in B_{x_i}(r_i)$ there exists a minimal geodesic curve γ_y in $B_{x_i}(r_i)$, which connects x_i and y. Picking any vector $s_i \in L_{x_i}$ with $|s_i| = 1$ and using the parallel transportation, we define a parallel vector field by

$$e_i(y) = \mathrm{Para}_{\gamma_y}(s_i), \ \forall\ y \in B_{x_i}(r_i).$$

In particular, $De_i(x_i) = 0$. Let T be a vector field, which is tangent to γ_y, and X another vector field with $[T, X] = 0$. Then

$$D_T[D_X e_i] = D_X[D_T e_i] + \mathrm{Ric}^{\nabla}(T, X)e_i = \mathrm{Ric}^{\nabla}(T, X)e_i. \tag{4.7}$$

By the condition (4.5), it follows

$$|De_i|_{h,g} \leq C(U', g)||\mathrm{Ric}^{\nabla}||_{(U',g)} \leq C(U', g)\delta, \text{ in } B_{x_i}(r_i). \tag{4.8}$$

This implies that the transformation function g_{ij} of L is nearly constant in $B_{x_i} \cap B_{x_j}$. Since the first Chern class lies in the secondary integral cohomology group, L is topologically trivial as long as δ is small, i.e., $c_1(L) = 0$. Hence, there exist some complex functions f_i over $B_{x_i}(r_i)$ such that

$$|Df_i| \leq C(U', g)\delta << 1, \tag{4.9}$$

and the transition functions for $\tilde{e_i} = f_i e_i$ are constant. Here $\|\tilde{e_i}\|_h = \|e_i\|_h$. As a consequence, we can define an associated connection ∇' on L to h such that

$$|\tilde{e_i}|_h = 1 \text{ and } D^{\nabla'}\tilde{e_i} = 0.$$

In fact, if we set $\nabla' = \nabla + \alpha \otimes e_i$, then locally,

$$D^{\nabla'}\tilde{e_i} = D^{\nabla}(f_i e_i) + \alpha(\tilde{e_i}) = f_i D^{\nabla} e_i + df_i \otimes e_i + f_i \alpha \otimes e_i.$$

Thus

$$\alpha = -\frac{1}{f_i}(df_i + \langle f_i D^{\nabla} e_i, e_i\rangle_h)$$

which is uniquely determined by requiring $D^{\nabla'}(\tilde{e_i}) = 0$. Therefore, (L, ∇') is a flat bundle over U with respect to ∇'. Moreover, by (4.8) and (4.9), we have

$$\|\nabla - \nabla'\|_{(U,g)} = \|\alpha\|_{(U,g)} \leq C(U', g)||\mathrm{Ric}^{\nabla}||_{(U',g)} \leq C(U', g)\delta. \tag{4.10}$$

Next we note that the holonomy group of a flat bundle over U is an element of $Hom(\pi_1(U), \mathbb{S}^1) \cong G \times \mathbb{T}^k$ for some finite group G with order m_1, where k is the Betti number of $\pi_1(U)$. By the pigeon-hole principle, we see that for any γ-neighborhood $W \subseteq \mathbb{T}^k$ of the identity there exists a positive integer $m_2 = m_2(\gamma)$ such that for any element $\rho \in \mathbb{T}^k$, $\rho^a \in W$ for some number a $(1 \leq a \leq m_2)$. As a consequence, for any element $t \in G \times \mathbb{T}^k$, there exists l $(1 \leq l \leq N = m_1 m_2)$ such that $t^l \in W$. Hence, there exist l and a smooth section ψ of $L^{\otimes l}$ over U by perturbing a parallel vector field in $L^{\otimes l}$ such that

$$||\psi|_h - 1|, \|\psi^{\nabla'^{\otimes l}}\|_{h,g} \leq C(U', g)\gamma(\delta), \text{in } U.$$

Moreover, By (4.10), we can normalize ψ by $|\psi|_h \equiv 1$ so that (4.6) is true. The lemma is proved. □

Proposition 4.6. *Let $x \in M_\infty$ and $\delta_1 > 0$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist a positive integer $N = N(V(x;\delta_1), \epsilon)$ and a large integer j_0 such that for $j \geq j_0$ there exist $l = l(j) \leq N$, and a sequence of $K_j \subseteq M_\infty$ and a sequence of pairs of isomorphisms (ϕ_j, ψ_j) with property:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_0 & \xrightarrow{\psi_j} & K_{\mathcal{R}}^{-ll_j}|_{K_j} \\ \big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow \\ V(x;\delta_1) & \xrightarrow{\phi_j} & K_j, \end{array} \tag{4.11}$$

which satisfy

$$\phi_j^*(ll_j g_\infty) \to g_x, \text{ as } j \to \infty,$$

and

$$|D\psi_j|_{g_x} \leq \epsilon, \text{ in } V(x;\delta_1).$$

Proof. Define an open set U of $\mathcal{CR}$ by

$$U = U(x; \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, R) = \{y \in C_x | \ \mathrm{dist}(\bar{y}, S_x) \geq \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \leq d(y, x) \leq R\},$$

where $\bar{y}$ is the projection to the section Y of $C_x = C(Y)$. Then there exist some ϵ_1, ϵ_2 and R such that

$$V(x; \delta_1) \subseteq U(x; \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, R).$$

Moreover, we can choose a sequence of integers $l_j = \frac{1}{r_j^2}$ such that

$$(M_\infty, l_j g_\infty, x) \to (C_x, g_x, x), \ \text{as } j \to \infty.$$

Hence by Lemma 4.2, there exist a sequence of $\tilde{K}_j \subseteq M_\infty$ and a sequence of diffeomorphisms $\tilde{\phi}_j$ from $U(x; \epsilon_1, \frac{\epsilon_2}{\sqrt{N}}, R)$ to $\tilde{K}_j$ such that $\tilde{\phi}_j^*(l_j g_\infty) \to g_x$, where $N = N(U, g_x, \epsilon)$ is a large integer as determined in Lemma 4.5.

Let h_∞ be the induced hermitian metric on $K_{\mathcal{R}}^{-1}$ by g_∞ on the regular part $\mathcal{R}$ of M_∞. Let

$$(L_j, h) = \tilde{\phi}_j^*(K_{\mathcal{R}}^{-l_j}, h_\infty^{\otimes l_j}) \otimes (L_0, h_0)^*$$

be product complex line bundle on U, where h is an induced hermitian metric by h_∞ and h_0 with associated connection ∇_j on L_j for each j. Clearly,

$$\|\mathrm{Ric}^{\nabla_j}\|_{(U', g_x)} \leq \delta << 1,$$

as long as j is large enough, where $U' \subset\subset \mathcal{C}R$ is an open set such that $\bar{U} \subset\subset U'$. Applying Lemma 4.5 to L_j over U', we see that there exist some positive integer $l = l(j) \leq N$ and a section ψ' on $L_j^{\otimes l}$ such that

$$|D^{\nabla_j^{\otimes l}} \psi'|_{(U, g_x)} \leq \epsilon.$$

Let $Y_{\epsilon_1} = U(x; \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, R) \bigcap Y$ and $\tilde{\psi}$ an extension section over $U(x; \epsilon_1, \frac{\epsilon_2}{\sqrt{l}}, R)$ of the restriction of ψ' on Y_{ϵ_1} by the parallel transportation along rays from x. Clearly,

$$\|\tilde{\psi}\|_{\otimes^l h} \equiv 1.$$

Moreover, by the formula (4.7), it is easy to see

$$|D^{\nabla_j^{\otimes l}} \tilde{\psi}|_{(U(x; \epsilon_1, \frac{\epsilon_2}{\sqrt{l}}, R), g_x)} \leq \frac{\sqrt{l}}{\epsilon_2}(\epsilon + C_0 R^2 \delta), \tag{4.12}$$

where the constant C_0 depends only on (Y, g_x). Thus we have pairs of isomorphisms $(\tilde{\phi}_j, \tilde{\psi}_j)$ with property:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_0^l & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}_j} & K_{M_\infty}^{-l_j l}|_{K_j} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (U(x; \epsilon_1, \frac{\epsilon_2}{\sqrt{l}}, R), g_x) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}_j} & (K_j, l_j g_\infty), \end{array} \tag{4.13}$$

which satisfy

$$|D\tilde{\psi}_j|_{g_x} \leq 2\frac{\sqrt{l}}{\epsilon_2}\epsilon, \tag{4.14}$$

as long as j is large enough.

Rescaling $U(x;\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2,R)$ into $U(x;\epsilon_1,\frac{\epsilon_2}{\sqrt{l}},R)$ by

$$\mu_l:\ y \to \frac{y}{\sqrt{l}}, y \in U(x;\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2,R).$$

We have isometrics

$$\mu_l^* L_0^l \cong L_0, \mu_l^* g_x = \frac{g_x}{l}.$$

By (4.13), it follows

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_0 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}_j\circ(\mu_l^*)^{-1}} & K_{M_\infty}^{-l_j l}|_{K_j} \\ \big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow \\ (U(x;\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2,R),\frac{g_x}{l}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}_j\circ\mu_l} & (K_j, l_j g_\infty). \end{array} \tag{4.15}$$

Let

$$\phi_j = \tilde{\phi}_j \circ \mu_l, \text{ and } \psi_j = \tilde{\psi}_j \circ (\mu_l^*)^{-1}.$$

Note that $V(x;\delta_1) \subseteq U(x;\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2,R)$. Then $K_j = \phi_j(V(x;\delta_1))$ is well-defined. Hence, rescaling the metric $\frac{g_x}{l}$ back to g_x, we get from (4.14),

$$|D\psi_j|_{g_x} \leq 2\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon_2}, \text{ in } V(x;\delta_1). \tag{4.16}$$

Replacing $2\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon_2}$ by ϵ, we prove the proposition. □

Proposition 4.6 will be used to construct peak sections of holomorphic line bundles over a sequence of Kähler manifolds in next section.

5. $\bar{\partial}$-equation and construction of holomorphic sections

In this section, we give a construction of peak holomorphic sections by solving $\bar{\partial}$-equation on a smoothing sequence of almost Kähler-Einstein manifolds in [26]. We will use the rescaling method as done for the Kähler-Einstein manifolds sequence in [7], [24].

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\{(M_i, g^i)\}$ be a sequence of almost Kähler-Einstein Fano manifolds as in Section 3 and (M_∞, g_∞) be its Gromov-Hasusdorff limit. Then for any sequence of $p_i \in M_i$ which converges to $x \in M_\infty$, there exist two large number l_x and i_0, and a small time t_x such that for any $i \geq i_0$ there exists a holomorphic section $s_i \in \Gamma(K_{M_i}^{-l_x}, h_{t_x}^i)$ which satisfies*

$$\int_{M_i} |s_i|^2_{h^i_{t_x}} \mathrm{dv}_{g^i_{t_x}} \leq 1 \text{ and } |s_i|_{h^i_{t_x}}(p_i) \geq \frac{1}{8}, \tag{5.1}$$

where g_t^i are solutions of (2.1) with the initial metrics g^i and $h_{t_x}^i$ are the hermitian metrics of $K_{M_i}^{-l_x}$ induced by $g_{t_x}^i$.

Proof. As in Section 4, we let

$$(C_x, \omega_x, x) = \lim_{j\to\infty} (M_\infty, \frac{g_\infty}{r_j^2}, x).$$

Choose a δ so that $\delta \leq (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \frac{C_1}{64}$, where C_1 is a constant chosen as in (3.11). We consider the $\overline{\partial}$-equation for sections on the trivial line bundle $L_0 = (V(x;\delta), \mathbb{C})$,

$$\bar\partial \sigma = f, \ \forall \ f \in \Gamma^\infty((TV^*)^{(0,1)} \otimes L_0).$$

Then the standard C^0-estimate for the elliptic equation shows

$$|\sigma|_{C^0(V(x;2\delta))} \leq C_2(|f|_{C^0(V(x;\delta))} + \delta^{-n}[\int_{V(x;\delta)} |\sigma|^2 dv g_x]^{\frac{1}{2}}), \tag{5.2}$$

where the constant C_2 depends on the metric g_x.

Let $0 < \eta \leq \frac{\delta^{2n}}{1000C_2^2}$ and β a cut-off function supported in $V(x;\delta_1)$ constructed in Lemma 4.3. Let K_j be the sequence of open sets in M_∞ which converge to $V(x;\delta_1)$ and ψ_j be the sequence of isomorphisms from L_0 to $K_{\mathcal{R}}^{-ll_j}|_{K_j}$ constructed in Proposition 4.6, where $l = l(l_j) \leq N = N(V(x;\delta_1),\epsilon)$ and $l_j = \frac{1}{r_j^2}$. Set $\tau_j = \psi_j(\beta e)$, where e is a unit basis of L_0. Then $\{\tau_j\}$ is a sequence of smooth sections of $K_{\mathcal{R}}^{-l_j l}$ supported in $\psi_j(V(x;\delta_1))$. Moreover, τ_j satisfies the following property as long as j is large enough:

$$\begin{aligned}
&i) \ \|\tau_j\|^2_{C^0(\phi_i(V(x;\delta)\bigcap B_x(3\delta)))} \geq \frac{3}{4}e^{-3\delta^2} \geq \frac{1}{2};\\
&ii) \ \int_{M_\infty} |\tau_j|^2 \mathrm{dv}_{g_\infty} \leq \frac{3}{2}\frac{r_j^{2n}}{l^n}(2\pi)^n;\\
&iii) \ \bar\partial_{J_\infty}\tau_j \leq \frac{\eta}{8}, \text{ in } V(x;\delta);\\
&iv) \ \int_{M_\infty} |\bar\partial_{J_\infty}\tau_j|^2 \mathrm{dv}_{g_\infty} \leq \frac{3}{2} r_j^{2n-2}\frac{\eta}{l^{n-1}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

On the other hand, from the proof of Lemma 4.2, we see that there exists t_0, which depends on $V(x;\delta_1)$ such that for any sufficiently large j it holds

$$\mathrm{vol}(B_y(\sqrt{t_0}\frac{r_j}{\sqrt{l}}) \geq (1-\epsilon)\mathrm{vol}(B_0(\sqrt{t_0}\frac{r_j}{\sqrt{l}}), \ \forall \ y \in K_j,$$

where ϵ is a small constant chosen as in Lemma 4.1. Then by the pseudo-locality theorem in [26], there exist a $t_0' \leq t_0$, and a sequence of sets $B_i \subseteq M_i$

and a sequence of diffeomorphisms $\varphi_i : K_j \to B_i$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_i^* g^i(t_0' \frac{r_j^2}{l}) &\to g_\infty,\\ \varphi_i^* J_i &\to J_\infty,\\ \varphi_i^* K_{M_i}^{-1} &\to K_{\mathcal{CR}}^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

in C^∞-topology, where $g^i(t) = g_t^i$. Thus, if we let $v_i = (\varphi_i)_* \tau_{j_0} \in \Gamma(M_i, K_{M_i}^{-ll_{j_0}})$ for some large integer $l_{j_0} = \frac{1}{r_{j_0}^2}$ and $l = l(l_{j_0}) \le N$, then there exists a large integer i_0 such that for any $i \ge i_0$ it holds:

$$\begin{aligned}&i')\ |v_i|_{h_{t_x}^i} \ge \frac{3}{8},\ \text{in } (\varphi_i \circ \psi_{j_0})(V(x;2\delta) \bigcap B_x(3\delta)));\\ &ii')\ \int_{M_i} |v_i|^2_{h_{t_x}^i} \mathrm{dv}_{g_{t_x}^i} \le \frac{5}{2} r_{j_0}^{2n-2} \frac{\eta}{l^{n-1}};\\ &iii')\ |\bar\partial_{J_i} v_i|_{h_{t_x}^i} \le \frac{1}{4}\eta,\ \text{in } (\varphi_i \circ \psi_{j_0})(V(x;\delta));\\ &iv')\ \int_{M_i} |\bar\partial_{J_i} v_i|^2_{h_{t_x}^i} \mathrm{dv}_{g_{t_x}^i} \le \frac{5}{4} r_{j_0}^{2n-2} \frac{\eta}{l^{n-1}}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Here $t_x = t_0' r_{j_0}^2/l$ and $h_{t_x}^{i_j}$ are hermitian metrics of $K_{M_i}^{-ll_{j_0}}$ induced by $g_{t_x}^i$.

By solving $\bar\partial$-equations for $K_{M_i}^{-ll_{j_0}}$-valued (0,1)-form σ_i,

$$\bar\partial \sigma_i = \bar\partial v_i,\ \text{in } M_i,$$

we get the L^2-estimates from (3.7) and $iv')$ in (5.4),

$$\|\sigma_i\|^2_{L^2(M_i, g_{t_x}^i)} \le \frac{4}{ll_{j_0}} \int_{M_i} |\bar\partial_{J_i} v_i|^2 \mathrm{dv}_{g_{t_x}^i} \le \frac{5\eta}{l^n l_{j_0}^n}. \tag{5.5}$$

Hence, by (5.2) and $iii')$ in (5.4), we derive

$$\begin{aligned}&|\sigma_i|_{h_{t_x}^i}(q)\\ &\le 2C_2\Big(\sup_{(\varphi_i\circ\psi_{j_0})(V(x;\delta))} |\bar\partial v_i|_{h_{t_x}^i}\\ &+ \delta^{-n}[(ll_{j_0})^n \int_{(\varphi_i\circ\psi_{j_0})(V(x;\delta))} |\sigma_i|^2_{h_{t_x}^i} \mathrm{dv}_{g_{t_x}^i}]^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big)\\ &\le 2C_2\Big(\frac{1}{4}\eta + \delta^{-n}[(ll_{j_0})^n \int_{M_i} |\sigma_i|^2 \mathrm{dv}_{g_{t_x}^i}]^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big)\\ &\le 2C_2\Big(\frac{1}{4}\eta + \delta^{-n}[(ll_{j_0})^n \frac{5\eta}{l^n l_{j_0}} r_{j_0}^{2n-2}]^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big)\\ &\le 5C_2\Big(\frac{1}{4}\eta + \delta^{-n}\sqrt{\eta}\Big) \le \frac{1}{8},\ \forall\ q \in (\varphi_i \circ \psi_{j_0})(V(x;2\delta)).\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

Let $s_i = v_i - \sigma_i$. Then s_i is a holomorphic section of $K_{M_i}^{-ll_{j_0}}$. By $i')$ in (5.4) and (5.6), we have

$$|s_i|_{h^i_{t_x}}(q_1) \geq \frac{3}{8} - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{1}{4},\ \forall\ q_1 \in (\varphi_i \circ \psi_{j_0})(V(x; 2\delta) \bigcap B_x(3\delta)).$$

Moreover, by $ii')$ in (5.4), it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{M_i} |s_i|^2_{h^i_{t_x}} \mathrm{dv}_{g^i_{t_x}} &\leq 2(\int_{M_i} |v_i|^2_{h^i_{t_x}} \mathrm{dv}_{g^i_{t_x}} + \int_{M_i} |\sigma_i|^2_{h^i_{t_x}} \mathrm{dv}_{g^i_{t_x}}) \\ &\leq 4(2\pi)^n \frac{r^{2n}_{j_0}}{l^n}. \qquad (5.7)\end{aligned}$$

Thus by the estimate (3.11), we get

$$\|\nabla s_i\|_{h^i_{t_x}} \leq \sqrt{4(2\pi)^n} C_1 \sqrt{l} r^{-1}_{j_0}.$$

Since $d(p_i, q_1) \leq 4\frac{r_{j_0}}{\sqrt{l}}\delta$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}|s_i(p_i)|_{h^i_{t_x}} &\geq |s_i(q_1)| - 4\frac{r_{j_0}}{\sqrt{l}}\delta \|\nabla s_i\|_{h^i_{t_x}} \\ &\geq |s_i|_{h^i_{t_x}}(q_1) - 8\sqrt{(2\pi)^n} C_1 \delta \geq \frac{1}{8}.\end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem while l_x is chosen by ll_{j_0}.

□

6. Proof of Theorem 1.3–I

In this section, we use the estimate in Section 5 to give a lower bound of $\rho_{ll_0}(x)$ for a sequence of almost Kähler-Einstein manifolds.

Theorem 6.1. *Let (M_i, g^i) be a sequence of almost Kähler-Einstein manifolds as in Section 3 and (M_∞, g_∞) be its Gromov-Hasusdorff limit. Then there exists an integer $l_0 > 0$, which depends only on (M_∞, g_∞) such that for any integer $l > 0$ there exists a uniform constant $c_l > 0$ with property:*

$$\rho_{ll_0}(M_i, g^i) \geq c_l. \qquad (6.1)$$

The proof of Theorem 6.1 depends on the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *Let (M, g) be a Fano manifold with $\omega_g \in 2\pi c_1(M)$ which satisfies*

$$\mathrm{Ric}(g) \geq -\Lambda^2 g \text{ and } \mathrm{diam}(M, g) \leq D. \qquad (6.2)$$

Let g_t be a solution of (2.1) with the initial metric g. Then there exists a small $t_0 = t_0(l, \Lambda, D)$ such that the following is true: if $s \in \Gamma(M, K_M^{-l})$ is a holomorphic section with $\int_M |s|^2_{h_t} \mathrm{dv}_{g_t} = 1$ for some $t \leq t_0$ which satisfies

$$|s|^2_{h_t}(p) \geq c > 0,$$

then

$$|s|_h^2(p) \geq c' > 0 \text{ and } \int_M |s|_h^2 \mathrm{dv}_g \leq c''. \tag{6.3}$$

Here h_t and h are hermitian metrics of $K_{M_i}^{-l}$ induced by g_t and g, respectively, and $c', c'' > 0$ are uniform constants depending only on c, l, Λ and D.

Proof. Let $\omega_{g_t} = \omega_g + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi$. Namely, ϕ are potentials of g_t. Then $\phi = \phi(x,t)$ satisfies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi = \log \frac{(\omega_g + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi)^n}{\omega_g^n} + \phi - f_g, \tag{6.4}$$

where f_g is the Ricci potential of g normalized by

$$\int_M f_g \mathrm{dv}_g^n = 0.$$

Since

$$\Delta f_g = \mathrm{R(g)} - \mathrm{n} \geq -(\mathrm{n}-1)\Lambda^2 - \mathrm{n},$$

by using the Green formula, we see

$$f_g(x) \leq -\int_M G(x,\cdot)\Delta f_g \leq C(\Lambda, D).$$

Thus applying the maximum principle to (6.4), it follows

$$\phi \geq -C(\Lambda, D).$$

On the other hand, integrating both sides of (6.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_M \phi \mathrm{dv}_g &= \int_M \log \frac{(\omega_g + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi)^n}{\omega_g^n}\mathrm{dv}_g + \int_M \phi \mathrm{dv}_g - \int_M f_g \mathrm{dv}_g \\ &\leq \int_M \phi \mathrm{dv}_g + C,\end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$\int_M \phi \mathrm{dv}_g \leq Ce^t \leq eC.$$

Hence by using the Green formula to ϕ, we can also get

$$\phi \leq C'(\lambda, D).$$

As a consequence, we derive

$$e^{-C'l}|\cdot|_h \leq |\cdot|_{h_t} = e^{-l\phi}|\cdot|_h \leq e^{Cl}|\cdot|_h. \tag{6.5}$$

Therefore to prove Proposition 6.2, we suffice to prove

Claim 6.3. *Let $s \in \Gamma(M, K_M^{-l})$ be a holomorphic section. Suppose that*

$$\int_M |s|_h^2 \mathrm{dv}_g = 1.$$

Then

$$\int_M |s|_{h_t}^2 \mathrm{dv}_{g_t} \geq c(l, \Lambda, D) > 0. \tag{6.6}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{(\omega_g + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi)^n}{\omega_g^n} &= \Delta' \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \\ &= -R(g_t) + n \leq \lambda = \lambda(\Lambda), \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{vol}_{g_t}(\Omega) \leq e^{\lambda t} \text{vol}_g(\Omega), \ \forall\ \Omega \subset M. \tag{6.7}$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}_{g_t}(\Omega) &= V - \text{vol}_{g_t}(M \setminus \Omega) \geq V - e^{\lambda t} \text{vol}_g(M \setminus \Omega) \\ &\geq \text{vol}_g(\Omega) - 2V\lambda t. \end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

By the estimate (3.4), we see

$$|s(x)|_h^2 \leq H = H(\Lambda, D).$$

Then

$$\int_0^H \text{vol}_g\{x \in M |\ |s(x)|_h^2 \geq s\} ds = \int_M |s|_h^2 \mathrm{dv}_g.$$

Hence, by using (6.5), and (6.7) and (6.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M |s|_{h_t}^2 \mathrm{dv}_{g_t} &\geq \int_0^H \text{vol}_{g_t}\{x \in M |\ |s(x)|_{h_t}^2 \geq s\} ds \\ &\geq \int_0^H \text{vol}_{g_t}\{x \in M |\ |s(x)|_h^2 \geq e^{C'l} s\} ds \\ &\geq e^{-C'l} \int_0^{e^{C'l}H} [\text{vol}_g\{x \in M |\ |s(x)|_h^2 \geq s\} - 2V\lambda t] ds \\ &\geq e^{-C'l}(1 - 2\lambda V H e^{C'l} t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by choosing $t_0 \leq (4\lambda V H e^{C'l})^{-1}$, we derive (6.6). The claim is proved.

□

Proof of the Theorem 6.1. By Proposition 5.1, we see that for any $x \in M_\infty$ and a sequence $\{p_i \subset M_i\}$ which converges to x, there exist two large number

l_x and i_0, a small time t_x such that there exists a holomorphic section $s_i \in \Gamma(K_{M_i}^{-l_x}, h_{t_x}^i)$ for any $i \geq i_0$ with $\int_{M_i} |s_i|^2_{h_{t_x}^i} \mathrm{dv_{g^i}} \leq 1$ which satisfies

$$|s_i|_{h_{t_x}^i}(p_i) \geq \frac{1}{8},$$

where $h_{t_x}^i$ is the hermitian metric of $K_{M_i}^{-l_x}$ induced by $g_{t_x}^i$. By Lemma 6.2, it follows that there exists a constant $c(l_x, \Lambda, D)$ and a holomorphic section $\hat{s}_i \in \Gamma(K_{M_i}^{-l_x}, h_i)$ for any $i \geq i_0$ with $\int_{M_i} |\hat{s}_i|^2_{h_i} \mathrm{dv_{g^i}} = 1$ which satisfies

$$|\hat{s}_i|_{h_i}(p_i) \geq c_x = c(l_x, \Lambda, D),$$

where h_i is the hermitian metric of $K_{M_i}^{-l_x}$ induced by g^i.

Let $C = C(C_S, n)$ be the constant as in (3.6), which depending only on Λ and D. For each x, we choose $r_x = \frac{c_x}{2} l_x^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} C$. Then by the estimate (3.6), we get

$$|\hat{s}_i|_{h_i}(q) \geq \frac{c_x}{2}, \ \forall \ q \in B_{p^i}(r_x).$$

Take N balls $B_{x_\alpha}(\frac{r_{x_\alpha}}{2})$ to cover M_∞. Then it is easy to see that there exists $i_1 \geq i_0$ such that $\cup_\alpha B_{p_\alpha^i}(r_{x_\alpha}) = M_i$ for any $i \geq i_1$, where $\{p_\alpha^i\}$ is a set of N points in M_i. This shows that for any $q \in M_i$ $(i \geq i_1)$ there exist a ball $B_{p_\alpha^i}(r_{x_\alpha})$ and a holomorphic section $s_\alpha^i \in \Gamma(K_{M_i}^{-l_{x_\alpha}}, h_i)$ such that $q \in B_{p_\alpha}(r_{x_\alpha}^i)$, and $\int_{M_i} |s_\alpha^i|^2_{h_i} \mathrm{dv_{g^i}} = 1$ and

$$|s_\alpha^i|_{h_i}(q) \geq c = \min_\alpha \{c_{x_\alpha}\} > 0. \tag{6.9}$$

Set $l_0 = \prod_\alpha l_{x_\alpha}$. Then by using a standard method (cf. [7], [23]), for any $q \in M_i$ $(i \geq i_1)$, one can construct another holomorphic section $s \in \Gamma(K_{M_i}^{-l_0}, h_i)$ based on holomorphic sections s_α^i such that $\int_{M^i} |s|^2_{h_i} \mathrm{dv_{g^i}} = 1$ and

$$|s|_{h_i}(q) \geq c' > 0,$$

where $c' = c'(l_0, c)$. This proves the theorem for $l = 1$. One can also prove the theorem for general multiple $l \geq 1$ as above. □

7. Proof of Theorem 1.3–II

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3 in case of almost Kähler-Ricci solitons. We assume that a Fano manifold (M, g) admits a non-trivial holomorphic vector field X, where X lies in an reductive Lie subalgebra η_r of space of holomorphic vector fields, and g is K_X-invariant with $\omega_g \in 2\pi c_1(M)$ [29]. We also suppose that g satisfies the following geometric conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} &i)\ \mathrm{Ric}(g) + L_X g \geq -\Lambda^2 g, \ |X|_g \leq A \text{ and diam } (M, g) \leq D; \\ &ii)\ R(g) \geq -C_0. \end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

In particular, under the condition i), g has a uniform L^2-Sobolev constant $C_s = C_s(\Lambda, A, D)$ (cf. [32]). We note that the volume of (M, g) is uniformly bounded below by the normalized condition $\omega_g \in 2\pi c_1(M)$ and it is uniformly bounded above by the volume comparison theorem [30].

Now we consider the following modified Kähler-Ricci flow with the above initial Kähler metric g,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g = -\mathrm{Ric}(g) + g + L_X g, \\ g_0 = g(\cdot, 0) = g. \end{cases} \tag{7.2}$$

Clearly, solutions g_t ($t \in (0, \infty)$) of (7.2) are all K_X-invariant.

Since the Sobolev constant g is uniformly bounded below, by Zhang's result [34], we have an analogy to Lemma 2.1 as follows.

Lemma 7.1. *All solutions g_t of (7.2) have Sobolev constants $C_s = C_s(\Lambda, A, D)$ uniformly bounded below. Namely, the following inequalities hold,*

$$(\int_M f^{\frac{2n}{n-1}} \mathrm{dv}_{g_t})^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq C_s(\int_M f^2(R + \hat{C}_0)\mathrm{dv}_{g_t} + \int_M |\nabla f|^2 \mathrm{dv}_{g_t}),$$

where $f \in C^1(M)$ and $\hat{C}_0$ is a uniform constant depending only on the lower bound C_0 of scalar curvature R of g.

Lemma 7.2. *Let $\Delta = \Delta_t$ be the Lapalace operator associated to g_t. Suppose that $f \geq 0$ satisfies*

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - (\Delta + X))f \leq af, \tag{7.3}$$

where a is a constant. Then for any $t \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{x \in M} f(x, t) \\ &\leq \frac{C_1(\Lambda, A, D, C)}{t^{\frac{n+1}{p}}} (\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \int_M |f(x, \tau)|^p \mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau} d\tau)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 2.2 , multiplying both sides of (7.3) by f^p, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_M f^p f'_\tau \mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau} + p\int_M |\partial f|^2 f^{p-1} \mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau} - \int_M \langle \partial\theta, \partial f\rangle f^p \mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau} \\ &\leq a\int_M f^{p+1} \mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (7.2), it is easy to see

$$\int_M f^p f'_\tau \mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau} = \frac{1}{p+1}\frac{d}{d\tau}(\int_M f^{p+1} \mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}) + \frac{1}{p+1}\int_M (R - n - \Delta\theta) f^{p+1} \mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}.$$

Thus we get

$$\frac{1}{p+1}\frac{d}{d\tau}(\int_M f^{p+1}\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}) + \frac{1}{p+1}\int_M (R-n)f^{p+1}d\mathrm{v}_{g_\tau} + p\int_M |\partial f|^2 f^{p-1}\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}$$
$$\leq a\int_M f^p \mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}.$$

It follows

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}\int_M f^{p+1}\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau} + \int_M (R+\hat{C}_0)f^{p+1}\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau} + 2\int_M |\nabla f^{\frac{p+1}{2}}|^2$$
$$\leq ((p+1)a+n+C_0)\int_M f^{p+1}\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}. \tag{7.5}$$

Note that (7.5) is similar to (2.6). Therefore, we can follow the argument in the proof of Lemma 2.2 to obtain (7.4).

□

Recall that according to [32] a sequence of weak almost Kähler-Ricci solitons (M_i, J_i, g^i, X_i) $(i\to\infty)$ satisfy the condition i) in (7.1) and

$$iii)\ \int_{M_i} |\mathrm{Ric}(g^i) - g^i - L_{X_i}g^i|\mathrm{dv}^n_{g^i} \to 0,\ \text{as } i\to\infty. \tag{7.6}$$

As in [32], we shall further assume that the solutions g^i_t of (7.1) with the initial metrics g^i satisfy

$$iii)\ |X^i|_{g^i_t} \leq \frac{B}{\sqrt{t}};$$
$$vi)\ \int_0^1 dt\int_{M_i} |R(g^i_t) - \Delta\theta_{g^i_t} - n|\mathrm{dv}^n_{g^i_t} \to 0,\ \text{as } i\to\infty, \tag{7.7}$$

where B is a uniform constant. It was proved that under the conditions $i)$ of (7.1), and (7.6) and (7.7) there exists a subsequence of $\{(M_i, J_i, g^i, X_i)\}$ which converges to a Kähler-Ricci soliton away from singularities of Gromov-Hausdorff limit with codimension 4.

Definition 7.3. *$\{(M_i, J_i, g^i, X_i)\}$ are called a sequence of almost Kähler-Ricci solitons if (7.1), (7.6) and (7.7) are satisfied.*

Lemma 7.4. *Let $\{(M_i, J_i, g^i, X_i)\}$ be a sequence of almost Kähler-Ricci solitons. Then there exists a uniform constant $C = C(\Lambda, D, B, C_0)$ such that for any $t\in(0,1)$ there exists $N = N(t)$ such that for any $i\geq N$ it holds*

$$|\nabla h^i_t| \leq C \text{ and } |R^i_t| \leq C.$$

Proof. By

$$\begin{aligned}&(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-(\Delta+X))|\nabla(h-\theta)|^2\\&=-|\nabla\bar{\nabla}(h-\theta)|^2-|\nabla\nabla(h-\theta)|^2+|\nabla(h-\theta)|^2\\&\leq|\nabla(h-\theta)|^2,\end{aligned}\tag{7.8}$$

we apply Lemma 7.2 to get

$$\begin{aligned}&|\nabla(h-\theta)|^2\\&\leq\frac{C}{t^{n+1}}\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t\int_M|\nabla(h-\theta)|^2\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}d\tau\\&=\frac{C}{t^{n+1}}\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t\int_M(\theta-h)\Delta(h-\theta)\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}d\tau\\&\leq\frac{C}{t^{n+1}}\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t\int_M osc_M(h-\theta)|R-n-\Delta\theta|\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}d\tau.\end{aligned}$$

By (2.16), it follows

$$|\nabla(h-\theta)|^2\leq\frac{C}{t^{(n+1)(n+\frac{3}{2})}}\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t\int_M|R-n-\Delta\theta|\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}d\tau.\tag{7.9}$$

On the other hand, by the evolution equation of $(\Delta+X)(h-\theta)$ [5],

$$\begin{aligned}&(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-(\Delta+X))[(\Delta+X)(h-\theta)]\\&=(\Delta+X)(h-\theta)+|\nabla\bar{\nabla}(h-\theta)|^2,\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-(\Delta+X))[(\Delta+X)(h-\theta)+|\nabla(h-\theta)|^2]\\&\leq(\Delta+X)(h-\theta)+|\nabla(h-\theta)|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Then applying Lemma 7.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&(\Delta+X)(h-\theta)+|\nabla(h-\theta)|^2\\&\leq\frac{C}{t^{n+1}}\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t\int_M|(\Delta+X)(h-\theta)+|\nabla(h-\theta)|^2|\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}d\tau.\end{aligned}\tag{7.10}$$

Note that by iii) in (7.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t\int_M|X(h-\theta)|\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}d\tau\\&\leq B\mathrm{vol}(M)[\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t\int_M|\nabla(h-\theta)|^2\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}d\tau]^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.16),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t}\int_M |(\Delta+X)(h-\theta)+|\nabla(h-\theta)|^2|\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}\\
&\leq \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t}\int_M |R-n-\Delta\theta|\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}\\
&+CB\mathrm{vol}(M)\frac{1}{t^{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n+\frac{1}{2})}}[\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t}\int_M |R-n-\Delta\theta|\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}]^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&+C\frac{1}{t^{(n+1)(n+\frac{1}{2})}}\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t}\int_M |R-n-\Delta\theta|\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus inserting the above inequality into (7.10), we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\Delta+X)(h-\theta)+|\nabla(h-\theta)|^2\\
&\leq \frac{C}{t^{(n+1)(n+\frac{3}{2})}}(\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t}\int_M |R-n-\Delta\theta|\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}d\tau\\
&+[\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t}\int_M |R-n-\Delta\theta|\mathrm{dv}_{g_\tau}d\tau]^{\frac{1}{2}}). \qquad (7.11)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (7.9) and (7.11), we see that for any $t\in(0,1)$ there exists $N=N(t)$ such that

$$|\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\nabla(h-\theta)|\leq 1 \text{ and } R-n-\Delta\theta\leq 1,\ \forall\ i\geq N(t). \qquad (7.12)$$

It follows

$$\Delta\theta=-|\nabla\theta|^2-X(h-\theta)-\theta\leq C.$$

As a consequence, we get $R\leq C$, and so $|R|\leq C$.

By (7.12), we have

$$\Delta\theta\geq R-n-1\geq -C.$$

Thus

$$|\nabla\theta|^2=-X(h-\theta)-\theta-\Delta\theta\leq C. \qquad (7.13)$$

Again by (7.12), we prove that $|\nabla h|\leq C$.

□

By Lemma 7.1 and the scalar curvature estimate in Lemma 7.4, we see that for any $t\in(0,1)$ there exists an integer $N=N(t)$ such that the Sobolev constant C_s of g_t^i is uniformly bounded for any $i\geq N$. Then by the gradient estimate of Kähler potentials in Lemma 7.4, we can follow the arguments in Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3 (also see Remark 3.2 and Remark 3.4) to get an analogy of Proposition 3.5.

Proposition 7.5. *Let (M_i, g^i) be a sequence of Fano manifolds with almost Kähler-Ricci solitons which satisfy (7.1), (7.6) and (7.7). Then for any $t \in (0,1)$ there exist integers $N = N(t)$ such that for any $i \geq N$ and $l \geq l_0$ it holds,*

$$\|s\|_{h_t^i} + l^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla s\|_{h_t^i} \leq C l^{\frac{n}{2}} \Big(\int_{M_i} |s|^2 \mathrm{dv}_{g_t^i}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{7.14}$$

and

$$\int_{M^i} |v|^2_{h_t^i} \leq 4l^{-1} \int_{M_i} |\bar{\partial}\sigma|^2_{h_t^i}. \tag{7.15}$$

Here $s \in H^0(M_i, K_{M_i}^{-l})$, the norms of $|\cdot|_{h_t^i}$ are induced by g_t^i, and the integer l_0 and the uniform constant C are independent of t.

By Proposition 7.5, we can follow the arguments in Proposition 5.1 and Theorem 6.1 to prove

Theorem 7.6. *Let (M_i, g^i) be a sequence of Fano manifolds with almost Kähler-Ricci solitons and (M_∞, g_∞) be their Gromov-Hasusdorff limit. Then there exists an integer $l_0 > 0$ which depending only on (M_∞, g_∞) such that for any integer $l > 0$ there exists a uniform constant $c_l > 0$ with property:*

$$\rho_{ll_0}(M_i, g^i) \geq c_l. \tag{7.16}$$

Proof. We give a sketch of proof of Theorem 7.6.

Step 1. By the rescaling method as in proof of Proposition 5.1 with the helps of Proposition 7.5 and the pseudo-locallity theorem in [32], we have an analogy of Proposition 5.1: For any sequence of $p_i \in M_i$ which converge to $x \in M_\infty$, there exist two large number l_x and i_0, and a small time t_x such that for any $i \geq i_0$ there exists a holomorphic section $s_i \in \Gamma(K_{M_i}^{-l_x}, h_{t_x}^i)$ which satisfies

$$\int_{M_i} |s_i|^2_{h^i_{t_x}} \mathrm{dv}_{g^i_{t_x}} \leq 1 \text{ and } |s_i|_{h^i_{t_x}}(p_i) \geq \frac{1}{8}, \tag{7.17}$$

where g_t^i is a solution of (7.2) with the initial metric g^i and $h_{t_x}^i$ is the hermitian metric of $K_{M_i}^{-l_x}$ induced by $g_{t_x}^i$.

Step 2. We can compare the C^0-norm of holomorphic sections with respect to the varying metrics g_t evolved in the flow (7.2). In fact, we have

Lemma 7.7. *Let (M, g) be a Fano manifold with $\omega_g \in 2\pi c_1(M)$ which satisfies (7.1), and g_t a solution of (7.2) with the initial metric g. Then there exists a small $t_0 = t_0(l, \Lambda, D)$ such that the following is true: if $s \in \Gamma(M, K_M^{-l})$ is a holomorphic section with*

$$\int_M |s|^2_{h_t} \mathrm{dv}_{g_t} = 1 \tag{7.18}$$

for some $t \leq t_0$ which satisfies

$$|s|_{h_t}(p) \geq c > 0, \tag{7.19}$$

then there is a holomorphic section s' of K_M^{-l} which satisfies

$$|s'|_h(p) \geq c' > 0 \text{ and } \int_M |s'|_h^2 \mathrm{dv}_g \leq c'',$$

where h_t and h are the hermitian metrics of K_M^{-l} induced by g_t and g, respectively, and the constants c' and c'' depend only on c, l, Λ, A, C_0 and D.

Proof of Lemma 7.7. Let Φ_t be a one-parameter subgroup generated by $-X$. Then $\Phi_t^* g_t$ is a solution of (2.1). It is clear that (7.18) also holds for $\Phi_t^* s, \Phi_t^* g_t, \Phi_t^* h_t$ and the condition (7.19) is equivalent to $|\Phi_t^* s|_{\Phi_t^* h_t}(\Phi_{-t}(p)) \geq c$. Since the Green functions associated to the metric g is bounded below under the condition $i)$ of (7.1) (cf. [14], [5]), we can follow the argument in Lemma 6.2 for the metrics $\Phi_t^* g_t$ to obtain

$$|\Phi_t^* s|_h(\Phi_{-t}(p)) \geq \tilde{c} \text{ and } \int_M |\Phi_t^* s|_h^2 \mathrm{dv}_g \leq c'',$$

where the constant $\tilde{c}$ depends only on c, l, Λ, A and D. Let $s' = \Phi_t^* s$. Then by the gradient estimate of $|\nabla s'| \leq C(l, \Lambda, D, C_0, A)$, we have

$$|s'|_h(p) \geq |s'|_h(\Phi_{-t}(p)) - C(\Lambda, D, C_0, A) A t \geq c'.$$

This proves Lemma 7.7. □

Step 3. By using the covering argument as in Theorem 6.1 together with the results in Step 1 and Step 2, we can finish the proof of Theorem 7.6. □

8. Proof of Corollary 1.4

In this section, for simplicity, we just give a proof of Corollary 1.4 in case of almost Kähler-Einstein manifolds with dimension $n \geq 2$. We assume that a sequence of almost Kähler-Einstein manifolds (M_i, g^i) with a limit (M_∞, g_∞) in Goromov-Hausdorff topology satisfies the partial C^0-estimate,

$$\rho_l(M_i, g^i) \geq c_l > 0, \tag{8.1}$$

for some integer l. Then, as an application of (8.1), we have

$$H^0(M_i, K_{M_i}^{-m}) \subseteq H^0(M_i, K_{M_i}^{-(m-l)}) \otimes H^0(M, K_{M_i}^{-l}), \tag{8.2}$$

where $m \geq l(n+2+[\Lambda^2])$ is any integer and the constant $-\Lambda^2$ is a uniform lower bound of Ricci curvature of (M_i, g^i) (cf. Proposition 7, [12])[3]

We need a strong version of (8.1) as follows.

Lemma 8.1. *For two different points $x, y \in M_\infty$, there exist $\ell = \ell(n, \Lambda, D, x, y)$, which is a multiple of l, and two sections $s_x, s_y \in H^0(M_i, K_{M_i}^{-\ell})$ such that*

$$|s_x(p_i)|_{h_i} = |s_y(q_i)|_{h_i} = 1 \text{ and } s_x(q_i) = s_y(p_i) = 0, \tag{8.3}$$

where $p_i \to x, q_i \to y$.

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 5.1, we can choose two compact sets $V(x; \delta_1^x), V(y; \delta_1^y)$ in C_x and C_y, respectively, such that $\phi_i \circ \psi_j(V(x; \delta_1^x))$ and $\phi_i \circ \psi_j(V(y; \delta_1^y))$ are disjoint as long as j and i are large enough. Let $v_i^x, \sigma_i^x, s_x^i \in \Gamma(M_i, K_{M_i}^{-l_x})$ and $v_i^y, \sigma_i^y, s_y^i \in \Gamma(M_i, K_{M_i}^{-l_y})$ be sections associated x and y, respectively. We may assume that $l_x = l_y = \ell$ for a multiple of l. Moreover, by the C^0-estimate of σ_i^x in $V(x; \delta^x)$ in (5.6), we see that $|s_x^i(q_i)|$ is small. Similarly, $|s_y^i(p_i)|$ is also small. Now we define holomorphic sections

$$\tilde{s}_x^i = s_x^i - \frac{s_x^i(q_i)}{s_y^i(q_i)} s_y^i \text{ and } \tilde{s}_y^i = s_y^i - \frac{s_y^i(p_i)}{s_x^i(p_i)} s_x^i. \tag{8.4}$$

Clearly, $\tilde{s}_x(q_i) = \tilde{s}_y(p_i) = 0$. Then $s_x = \frac{\tilde{s}_x^i}{|\tilde{s}_x^i(p_i)|_{h_i}}$ and $s_y = \frac{\tilde{s}_y^i}{|\tilde{s}_y^i(q_i)|_{h_i}}$ will satisfy (8.3).

□

By Lemma 8.1, we prove

Proposition 8.2. *Let $\{(M_i, g^i)\}$ be a sequence of Fano manifolds with Ricci bounded from below and diameter bounded from above, and (M_∞, g_∞) its limit in Goromov-Hausdorff topology. Suppose that (8.1) and (8.3) in Lemma 8.1 hold. Then M_∞ is homeomorphic to an algebraic variety.*

Proof. By (8.1), for any k, we can define holomorphisms

$$T_{kl,i} : M_i \to \mathbb{C}P^N,$$

where $N+1 = \dim H^0(M_i, K_{M_i}^{-kl})$ is constant if i is large enough. Since $T_{kl,i}$ is uniformly Lipschitz by (3.6), we get a limit map

$$T_{kl,\infty} : M_\infty \to \mathbb{C}P^N.$$

On the other hand, the images W_i^{kl} of $T_{kl,i}$ have a chow limit W^{kl}, which coincides with the image of the map $T_{kl,\infty}$. Thus $T_{kl,\infty}$ maps M_∞ onto $W^{kl} =$

[3]There is a generalization of (8.2) under the Bakry-Eméry Ricci curvature condition in Appendix.

$T_{kl,\infty}(M_\infty)$. We claim that $T_{(n+2+[\Lambda^2])l,\infty}$ is injective, so the proposition is proved.

By Lemma 8.3, for any $x, y \in M_\infty$, there are $p_i \to x$ and $q_i \to y$, and $s_x, s_y \in H^0(M_\infty, K_{M_i}^{-k_1 l})$ for some k_1 such that

$$|s_x|_{h_i}(p_i) = |s_y|_{h_i}(q_i)| = 1 \text{ and } s_x(q_i) = s_y(p_i) = 0. \tag{8.5}$$

This means $T_{k_1 l,\infty}(x) \neq T_{k_1 l,\infty}(y)$. We further show that

$$T_{(n+2+[\Lambda^2])l,\infty}(x) \neq T_{(n+2+[\Lambda^2])l,\infty}(y). \tag{8.6}$$

In fact, if (8.6) is not true, it is easy to see $T_{il,\infty}(x) = T_{il,\infty}(y)$ for any $i \leq n + 2 + [\Lambda^2]$. Then by (8.2), it follows

$$T_{kl,\infty}(x) = T_{kl,\infty}(y), \ \forall \ k,$$

which is contradict to (8.5). Thus (8.6) is true. Hence $T_{(n+2+[\Lambda^2])l,\infty}$ must be injective.

□

Proof of Corollary 1.4. By the Gromov compactness theorem, there exists a subsequence $\{(M_{i_k}, g^{i_k})\}$ of $\{(M_i, g^i)\}$, which converges to (M_∞, g_∞). Then i) and ii) in Corollary 1.4 follow from a generalized Cheeger-Colding-Tian compactness theorem for a sequence of almost Kähler-Einstein manifolds [26] (or a sequence of Fano manifolds with almost Kähler-Ricci solitons [32]). Thus we suffice to prove the part iii). By Proposition 8.2, we know that M_∞ is homomorphic to an algebraic variety $W^{k_0 l}$, where $k_0 = n + 2 + [\Lambda^2]$. We further show that $W^{k_0 l}$ is a log terminal Q-Fano variety.

Let $H^0(M_\infty, K_{M_\infty}^{-k_0 l})$ be a space of bounded holomorphic sections of $K_{\mathcal{R}}^{-k_0 l}$ with respect to the induced metric g_∞. Then for any compact set $K \subseteq \mathcal{R} \subseteq M_\infty$, we know that there are $t_K > 0$ and $K_i \subseteq M_i$ such that $(K_i, g_i(t_K))$ converge to (K, g_∞) smoothly. Thus by the argument in Proposition 5.1 and Lemma 6.2, we can identify $H^0(M_\infty, K_{M_\infty}^{-k_0 l})$ with the limit of $H^0(M_i, K_{M_i}^{-k_0 l})$. But, from the proof in Proposition 8.2, the latter is the same as $H^0(W^{k_0 l}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}P^N}(1))$. This implies that M_∞ is homeomorphic to the normalization of $W^{k_0 l}$ since the codimension of singularities of $W^{k_0 l}$ is at least 2 (cf. [23] and [7]). Hence $W^{k l_0}$ is normal. By [1], it remains to prove that $W^{k_0 l}$ is a Q-Fano variety.

Let $\mathcal{S} = \mathrm{Sing}(M_\infty)$, $\hat{\mathcal{S}} = T_{k_0 l,\infty}(\mathcal{S})$, and let $W_s \subset \hat{\mathcal{S}}$ be the singular set of $W^{k_0 l}$. Then both W_s and $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ lie in a subvariety of $W^{k_0 l}$ with codimension at least 2. Thus we suffice to prove that $W_s = \hat{\mathcal{S}}$ since $(W^{k_0 l}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}P^N}(1)) = K_{W^{k_0 l} \setminus \hat{\mathcal{S}}}^{-k_0 l}$. In the following, we give a proof for the general limit Kähler-Ricci soliton (M_∞, g_∞) in Section 7 by using PDE method as in [7]. Namely, g_∞

satisfies an equation,

$$\mathrm{Ric}(g_\infty) - g_\infty - L_{X_\infty} g_\infty = 0, \text{ in } M_\infty \setminus \mathcal{S}, \tag{8.7}$$

where X_∞ is the limit holomorphic vector field of (M_i, X_i) on $M_\infty \setminus \mathcal{S}$ [32].

On contrary, we suppose that $W_s \neq \hat{\mathcal{S}}$. Then there exists some $x \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $p = T_{k_0 l,\infty}(x) \in W^{k_0 l} \setminus W_s$, a smooth point in $W^{k_0 l}$. Thus there exists a small ball B around p in $W^{k_0 l}$ with the standard holomorphic coordinates such that the induced Kähler form $\omega_0 = \frac{1}{k_0 l}\omega_{g_{FS}}$ by the Fubini-Study metric g_{KS} of the projective space is smooth on B. We may assume that $\omega_0 = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial} v$ for some Kähler potential v on B.

Let ρ_∞ be the limit of $\rho_{k_0 l}(M_i, g^i)$ (perhaps replaced by a subsequence of $\rho_{k_0 l}(M_i, g^i)$) on $(M_\infty \setminus \mathcal{S}, g_\infty)$. Then ρ_∞ and $|\nabla \rho_\infty|_{g_\infty}$ are both uniformly bounded since $\rho_{k_0 l}(M_i, g^i)$ and $|\nabla \rho_{k_0 l}(M_i, g^i)|_{g^i}$ are all uniformly bounded by (3.6). Clearly, ρ_∞ satisfies

$$\omega_{g_\infty} = \omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\rho_\infty, \text{ in } W^{k_0 l} \setminus \hat{\mathcal{S}}.$$

Let $u = v + \rho_\infty$. Then by (8.7), we see that u satisfies

$$\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}(\log\det(u_{i\bar{j}}) + X_\infty(u) + u) = 0, \text{ in } B \setminus \hat{\mathcal{S}}.$$

It follows

$$\log\det(u_{i\bar{j}}) + X_\infty(u) + u = const., \text{ in } B \setminus \hat{\mathcal{S}}. \tag{8.8}$$

We claim that there exists a uniform C such that

$$C^{-1}\delta_{i\bar{j}} \leq u_{i\bar{j}} \leq C\delta_{i\bar{j}}, \text{ in} B \setminus \hat{\mathcal{S}}. \tag{8.9}$$

Since the basis in $H^0(M_\infty, K_{M_\infty}^{-k_0 l})$, which gives the embedding $T_{k_0 l,\infty}$, is uniformly C^1-bounded, we have

$$\omega_0 \leq C\omega_{g_\infty}, \text{ in } M_\infty.$$

On the other hand, by (7.13),

$$|X_\infty(\rho_\infty)| \leq |X_\infty|_{g_\infty}|\nabla\rho_\infty|_{g_\infty} \leq C, \text{ in } M_\infty.$$

Then $X_\infty(u)$ is uniformly bounded. Thus by (8.8), we see that $\log\det(u_{i\bar{j}})$ is uniformly positive and bounded. This implies (8.9).

By the above claim, we can apply the following lemma to show that u is a smooth function in a small neighborhood of p. But this is impossible by $x \in \mathcal{S}$. Hence $W^{k_0 l}$ must be a Q-Fano variety.

□

Lemma 8.3. *Let u be a smooth solution of (8.8) in $B \setminus \hat{\mathcal{S}}$, where B is a ball in the euclidean space in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ is a closed subset in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with real dimension less than $2n-1$. Suppose that u satisfies (8.9). Then u can be extended to a smooth function on $\frac{1}{4}B$.*

Proof. By the Schaulder estimate for the equation (8.8), we suffices to get a $C^{2,\alpha}$-regularity of u in $\frac{1}{4}B$. We first do the $C^{1,1}$-estimate.

For any $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{8}$ and any unit vector v, we let the difference quotient

$$w = w_\epsilon = \frac{u(x+\epsilon v) + u(x-\epsilon v) - 2u(x)}{\epsilon^2}.$$

Then by the convexity of $\log\det$, we get from (8.8),

$$u^{i\bar{j}} w_{i\bar{j}} \geq e^g \frac{g(x+\epsilon v) + g(x-\epsilon v) - 2g(x)}{\epsilon^2}, \tag{8.10}$$

where $g = -u - X_\infty(u)$. Denote $(a_{\alpha\beta})$ to be the $2n \times 2n$ matrix of Riemannian metric of g_∞ and $(a^{\alpha\beta}) = \det(a_{\delta\gamma})(a_{\alpha\beta})^{-1}$. It is clear that (8.10) is equivalent to

$$(a_{\alpha\beta} w_\beta)_\alpha \geq l(x) + \frac{h(x+\epsilon v) - h(x)}{\epsilon}, \text{ in } \frac{3}{4}B \setminus \hat{\mathcal{S}}, \tag{8.11}$$

where $l = f(x+\epsilon v)\frac{e^g(x+\epsilon v) - e^g(x)}{\epsilon}, h = e^g f$ and $f = \frac{g(x) - g(x-\epsilon v)}{\epsilon}$. Note that X_∞ can be extended to a holomorphic vector field on B. Then by (8.9), w can be regarded as a weak sub-solution in (8.11) in whole $\frac{3}{4}B$. Thus by the L^∞-estimate arising from the Moser iteration, we have,

$$\sup_{\frac{1}{2}B}(w_\epsilon) \leq C(|w_\epsilon|_{L^p(\frac{3}{4}B)} + |l|_{L^{\frac{q}{2}}(B)} + |h|_{L^q(B)}), \tag{8.12}$$

where C depends only on $(a_{\alpha\beta})$, $p \geq 1$ and $q > 2n$. In fact, by Theorem 8.17 in [8], the estimate (8.12) holds for sub-solution w as follows,

$$(a_{\alpha\beta} w_\beta)_\alpha \geq l + < v, Dh > .$$

But Theorem 8.17 is also true when the term $< v, Dh >$ is replaced by the difference quotient $\frac{h(x+\epsilon v) - h(x)}{\epsilon}$.

Since g is uniformly Lipschitz in $B \setminus \hat{\mathcal{S}}$, l, h are L^∞-functions in B. On the other hand, by (8.9), $u \in W^{2,p}(\frac{3}{4}B)$ for any $p \geq 1$, and so $|w_\epsilon|_{L^p(\frac{3}{4}B)}$ is uniformly bounded. Thus the (8.12) implies that w_ϵ is uniformly bounded above. As a consequence, $C^{1,1}$-derivative u_{vv} is uniformly bounded above. By (8.9), we can also get a uniform lower bound of u_{vv}. Hence $C^{1,1}$-norm of u is uniformly bounded in $\frac{1}{2}B$.

Next to get $C^{2,\alpha}$-estimate of u in (8.8), we can apply Evans-Krylov theorem, Theorem 17.14 in [8] to $C^{1,1}$-solution of (8.8) in $\frac{1}{2}B$ directly. This is because (8.8) is strictly elliptic in B and $-u - X_\infty(u)$ is Lipschitz. Thus the lemma is proved.

□

9. Conclusion

In the proofs of Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 7.6, the constants c_l in the estimates (6.1) and (7.6) may depend on the limit (M_∞, g_∞). In this section, we show that c_l just depends on n, l_0 and l, and the geometric uniform constants Λ and D in $i)$ of (3.10), or the constants Λ, D, C_0 and B in (7.1) and $iii)$ of (7.7). Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 1.3. For simplicity, we just consider the case of almost Kähler-Einstein Fano manifolds below.

Set a class of Fano manifolds by

$$\mathcal{K}_{\Lambda,D} = \{(M^n, g)|\ \omega_g \in 2\pi c_1(M), \mathrm{Ric}(g) \geq -(n-1)\Lambda^2, \mathrm{diam}(M,g) \leq D\}.$$

It is known that $\mathcal{K}_{\Lambda,D}$ is precompact in Gromov-Hausdorff topology. Moreover, by Cheeger-Colding theory in [2], any Gromov-Hausdorff limit M_∞ in $\mathcal{K}_{\Lambda,D}$ contains singularities with codimension at least 2 and each tangent cone at $x \in M_\infty$ is a metric cone C_x, which also contains singularities with codimension at least 2.

Let $\mathcal{K}^0_{\Lambda,D}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{K}_{\Lambda,D}$ such that $\mathcal{H}^{2n-2}(\mathrm{Sing}(C_x)) = 0$ for any $x \in M_\infty$, where M_∞ is any Gromov-Hausdorff limit in $\mathcal{K}^0_{\Lambda,D}$. Then according to the proofs in Proposition 5.1 and Theorem 6.1, we have

Proposition 9.1. *Let $(M,g) \in \mathcal{K}^0_{\Lambda,D}$ and g_t a solution of (2.1) with the initial metric g. Then there exist a small number $\delta = \delta(\Lambda, D, n)$ and a large integer $l_0 = l_0(n, \Lambda, D)$ such that the following is true: if g satisfies*

$$\int_0^1 \int_M |R - n| \mathrm{dv}_{g_t} dt \leq \delta, \tag{9.1}$$

then for any integer l there exists a uniform constant $c = c(n, l, \Lambda, D) > 0$ such that

$$\rho_{ll_0}(M, g) \geq c. \tag{9.2}$$

Proof. By Theorem 6.1, we see that for any $Y \in \bar{\mathcal{K}}^0_{\Lambda,D}$, there exist a small number $\delta_Y > 0$, a large integer l_Y and a uniform constant $c_Y > 0$ such that if $M \in \mathcal{K}_{\Lambda,D}$ satisfies

$$\mathrm{d}_{GH}((M,g),(Y,g_Y)) \leq \delta_Y, \int_0^1 \int_M |R-n| \mathrm{dv}_{g_t} dt \leq \delta_Y,$$

then

$$\rho_{l_Y}(M,g) \geq c_Y.$$

Since $\bar{\mathcal{K}}_{\Lambda,D}$ is compact, we can cover it by finite balls $B_{Y_i}(\delta_{Y_i})(1 \leq i \leq N)$ in Gromov-Hausdroff topology. Putting $l_0 = \Pi l_{Y_i}, \delta = \min\{\delta_{Y_i}\}$ and $c = \min\{c_{Y_i}\}$. Then we get (9.2) for $l = 1$, if (M,g) satisfies (9.1). (9.2) is also true for general l as in the proof of Theorem 6.1. □

(1.3) in Theorem 1.3 follows from (9.2).

10. Appendix

In this appendix, we use the following Siu's lemma to generalize the finite generation formula (8.2) under the Bakry-Eméry Ricci curvature condition [17].

Lemma 10.1. *Let (M^n, g) be a compact complex manifold, G a holomorphic line bundle, E a holomorphic line bundle with a hermitian metric $e^{-\psi}$ whose Ricci curvature is positive. Let $\{s_i\}_{1\leq i\leq p}$ be a basis of $H^0(M, G)$ and $|s|^2 = \Sigma_{i=1}^p |s_i|^2$. Then for any $f \in H^0(M, (n+k+1)G + E + K_M)$ which satisfies*

$$\int_M \frac{|f|^2 e^{-\psi}}{|s|^{2(n+k+1)}} \mathrm{dv}_g < +\infty,$$

there are some $h_i \in H^0(M, (n+k)G + E + K_M)$ $(k \geq 1)$ such that $f = \Sigma_{i=1}^p h_j \otimes s_j$ and each h_i satisfies

$$\int_M \frac{|h_j|^2 e^{-\psi}}{|s|^{2(n+k)}} \mathrm{dv}_g \leq \frac{n+k}{k} \int_M \frac{|f|^2 e^{-\psi}}{|s|^{2(n+k+1)}} \mathrm{dv}_g.$$

Proposition 10.2. *Let (M, g) be a Kähler manifold with*

$$\mathrm{Ric}(g) + \mathrm{Hess}\, u \geq -Cg,$$

where $X = \nabla u$ is a holomorphic vector field and $|u| \leq A$. Assume that

$$c' \geq \rho_l(M, g) \geq c > 0 \tag{10.1}$$

for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any $s \in H^0(M, K_M^{-m})$ with $m \geq (n+2)l + C + 1$, there are $u_i \in H^0(M, K_M^{-(m-l)})$ such that $s = \Sigma_{i=0}^N u_i \otimes s_i$, where $\{s_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $H^0(M, K_M^{-l})$. Moreover, each u_i satisfies

$$\int_M |u_i|^2_{h^{\otimes m-l}} \mathrm{dv}_g \leq (n+1) e^{2A} (\frac{c'}{c})^{\frac{m}{l}} \int_M |s|^2_{h^{\otimes m}} \mathrm{dv}_g. \tag{10.2}$$

Proof. Putting $L = K_M^{-1}$ and $m - C - 1 = (n+k+1)l + r$ $(0 \leq r < l)$, we decompose mL as

$$mL = (n+k+1)(lL) + ((m - (n+k+1)l)L - K_M) + K_M.$$

Let h and ω_g^n be two hermitian metrics on L such that

$$\mathrm{Ric}(L, h) = g,\ \mathrm{Ric}(L, \omega_g^n) = \mathrm{Ric}(g).$$

Denote the line bundle $(m - (n+k+1)l)L - K_M$ by E. Then $h_1 = h^{\otimes m-(n+k+1)l} \otimes e^{-u} \otimes \omega_g^n$ is a hermitian metric on E. It is easy to see

$$\mathrm{Ric}(E, h_1) = (m - (n+k+1)l)\omega_g + \mathrm{Ric}(g) + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial} u \geq \omega_g.$$

Now applying the above lemma to $G = lL$, s_i, E and $f = s$, we see that there are $u_i \in H^0(M, (n+k)G + E + K_M)$ such that

$$\int_M \frac{|u_i|^2_{h^{\otimes(n+k)l}\otimes h_1}}{(\Sigma_{i=0}^N |s_i|^2_{h^{\otimes l}})^{n+k}} \mathrm{dv}_g \leq \frac{n+k}{k} \int_M \frac{|s|^2_{h^{\otimes(n+k+1)l}\otimes h_1}}{(\Sigma_{i=0}^N |s_i|^2_{h^{\otimes l}})^{n+k+1}} \mathrm{dv}_g.$$

The above is equivalent to

$$\int_M \frac{|u_i|^2_{h^{\otimes m-l}}}{(\Sigma_{i=0}^N |s_i|^2_{h^{\otimes l}})^{n+k}} e^{-u} \mathrm{dv}_g \leq \frac{n+k}{k} \int_M \frac{|s|^2_{h^{\otimes m}}}{(\Sigma_{i=0}^N |s_i|^2_{h^{\otimes l}})^{n+k+1}} e^{-u} \mathrm{dv}_g.$$

By (10.1), it follows

$$\frac{1}{e^{2A} c'^{n+k}} \int_M |u_i|^2_{h^{\otimes m-l}} \mathrm{dv}_g \leq \frac{n+k}{k c^{n+k+1}} \int_M |s|^2_{h^{\otimes m}} \mathrm{dv}_g,$$

which implies (10.2) immediately. □

References

[1] Berman, R., Boucksom, S., Eyssidieux, P., Guedj, V. and Zeriahi, A., Kähler-Einstein metrics and the Kähler-Ricci flow on log Fano varieties, arXiv:1111.7158v2.

[2] Cheeger, J. and Colding, T., On the strcuture of spces with Ricci curvature bouned below I, J. Differential Geom. 45 (1997), 406-480.

[3] Cheeger, J., Colding, T. and Tian, G., On the singularities of spaces with bounded Ricci curvature, GAFA, 12 (2002), 873-914.

[4] Chen, X., Donaldson, S. and Sun, S., Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds, III, arXiv:1302.0282.

[5] Cao, H., Tian, G. and Zhu, X.H., Kähler-Ricci solitons on compact complex manifolds with $c_1(M) > 0$, GAFA, 15 (2005), 697-719.

[6] Donaldson, S., Scalar curvature and stability of toric varieties, J. Diff. Geom., 62 (2002), 289-349.

[7] Donaldson, S., Sun, S., Grmov-Hausdorff limtis of Kähler manifolds and algebraic geometry, arXiv:math.DG.1206.2609.

[8] Gilbarg D., Trudinger N., Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.

[9] Green, R. and Wu, H., Liptschitz convergence of Riemannian manifolds, Pac. J. Math., 131 (1988), 119-141.

[10] Hebey, E., Nonlinear Analysis on Manifolds, Sobolev Spaces and Inequalities, Courant Lecture Notes **5**, 2000.

[11] Jiang, W. S., Bergman kernel along the Kähler Ricci flow and Tian's Conjecture, arXiv:math.DG.1311.0428.

[12] Li, C., Kähler-Einstein metrics and K-stability, Princeton thesis, 2012.

[13] Li, C., Yau-Tian-Donaldson correspondence for K-semistable Fano manifolds, arXiv: math.DG.1302.6681v2.

[14] Mabuchi, T., Multiplier hermitian structures on Kähler manifolds, Nagoya Math. J. 170 (2003), 73-115.

[15] Paul, S., Stable pair and coercive estimates for the Mabuchi Functional, arXiv: math.AG.1308.4377v1.

[16] Phong, D. H., Song J. and Sturm J., Degenerations of Kahler-Ricci solitons on Fano manifolds, arXiv:1211.5849.

[17] Siu Y.T., Techniques for the Analytic Proof of the Finite Generation of the Canonical Ring, arXiv:math.AG.0811.1211v1.

[18] Natasa S. and Tian, G., Bounding scalar curvature and diameter along the Kähler Ricci flow (after Perelman), J. Inst. Math. Jussiu, **7** (2008), 575-587.

[19] Tian, G., On a set of polarized Kähler metircs on algebraic manifolds., J. Diff. Geom. 32 (1990), 99-130.

[20] Tian, G., On Calabi's conjecture for complex surfaces with positive first Chern class, Invent. Math., 101 (1990), 101-172.

[21] Tian, G., Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature, Invent. Math., 130 (1997), 1-37.

[22] Tian, G., Existence of Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds, Metric and Differential Geometry, The Jeff Cheeger Anniversary Volume, X. Dai and X. Rond edt., Prog. in Math., 297 (2012), 119-159.

[23] Tian, G., K-stability and Kähler-Einstein metrics, arXiv:math.DG.1211.4669.

[24] Tian, G., Partial C^0-estimates for Kähler-Einstein metrics, Commun. Math. Stat., 1 (2013), 105-113.

[25] Tian, G., Stability of pairs, arXiv:math.DG.1310.5544.

[26] Tian, G. and Wang, B., On the structure of almost Einstein manifolds, arXiv: math.DG.0211159.1202.2912.

[27] Tian, G. and Zhang, S., Zhang, Z. and Zhu, X., Perelman's entropy and Kähler-Ricci flow an a Fano Manifold, Tran. AMS., 365 (2013), 6669-6695.

[28] Tian,G. and Zhang, Z., Regularity of Kähler-Ricci flows on Fano manifolds, arXiv:math.DG.1310.5897.

[29] Tian, G. and Zhu, X., Uniqueness of Kähler-Ricci solitons, Acta Math., 184 (2000), 271-305.

[30] Wei, G. and Wylie, W., Comparison geometry for Bakry-Emery Ricci curvature, J. Differential Geom., 83 (2009), 337-405.

[31] Wang, F. and Zhu, X.H., On the structure of spaces with Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature bounded below, arXiv: math.DG.1304.4490.

[32] Wang, F. and Zhu, X.H., Fano manifolds with weak almost Kähler-Ricci solitons, to appear in Int. Math. Res. Not.

[33] Ye, R., The Logarithmic Sobolev inequality along the Ricci flow, arXiv:math. DG.0707.2424v4.

[34] Zhang, S.Q., A Uniform Sobolev inequality under Ricci flow, Int. Math. Res. Not., 17 (2007), 1-12.

Wenshuai Jiang, School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China

Feng Wang, School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China

Xiaohua Zhu, School of Mathematical Sciences and BICMR, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China, xhzhu@math.pku.edu.cn